

An ‘almost’ linear stochastic map model for economic dynamics

ANINDYA S. CHAKRABARTI

Abstract

We propose a very simple (almost linear) stochastic map model of economic dynamics. In the last decade, an array of observations in economics has been investigated in the econophysics literature, a major example being the universal features of inequality in terms of income and wealth. Another area of inquiry is the formation of opinion in a society. Our proposed model can generate the Gamma-like and the power law distributions as has been observed in the real data of income and wealth distributions. Also, it is able to produce a non-trivial phase transition in the opinion of a society (opinion formation). A number of physical models also generates similar results. In particular, the kinetic exchange models have been especially successful in this regard. Therefore, we compare the results obtained from these two approaches and point out a number of new features obtained from the map model.

1 Introduction

It is known that the distributions of income and wealth possess some robust and stable features which are independent of the economy-specific conditions (yako-rosser;09, ?). But the exact form of the distribution is still debated (kleiber;02, ?). It has been a tradition in the economics literature to model the left tail and the mode of the distributions of the incomes with a log-normal (gibrat;31, ?) distribution and the right tail with a

Pareto distribution i.e., a power law (pareto;97, ?). However, a number of recent studies in econophysics literature argue that the left tail and the mode of the distribution is best described by the gamma distribution and the right tail of the distribution follows a power law (See Ref. (drag-yako;01, ?; drag-yako;01a, ?; acbkc;07, ?; chakrabartis;10, ?)). Also, a significant number of attempts have been devoted to explain the emergence of a consensus in a society and the possibility of a non-trivial phase-transition in the average opinion of the society (See Ref. (weisbuch, ?; lallouache;10, ?)).

Here, we propose a minimal stochastic map which produces all of the aforementioned features and in addition, it shows some new features as well. Below, we propose the model and discuss its relative merits and demerits with respect to the kinetic exchange models which also generates similar results.

2 The map

The map has the following form

$$m(t+1) = \min \{(\lambda_1 + \epsilon_t \lambda_2) m(t) + \xi_t \lambda_3^n, \theta\} \quad (1)$$

where λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_3 are linear functions of a single parameter λ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ such that $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$ for $i=1, 2$ and 3 . We assume that $-\infty \leq n \leq 1$, θ takes value either a positive, finite value (we assume $\theta = 1$, for convinience) or ∞ (or a sufficiently large value) and $\epsilon_t, \xi_t \sim \text{unif}[0, 1]$ and independent, unless specified. In all the simulations, we have assumed $m(0) = 1$. We denote the time index by subscripts for exogenous random variables (ϵ and ξ) which we shall drop when no confusion arises. While the parameter space is seemingly too large to be considered in details, we shall restrict the it considerably by assuming very

simple forms of λ_i for all i .

3 Statistical features of the map at some limits

3.1 A Γ -like distribution

We assume $\lambda_1 = \lambda$, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1 - \lambda$, $n = 1$ and $\theta = \infty$. The equation becomes

$$m(t+1) = (\lambda + \epsilon(1-\lambda))m(t) + \xi(1-\lambda). \quad (2)$$

See Fig. 1 for steady state distributions corresponding to different values of λ . Though we do not know the exact algebraic form of the steady state distributions produced by Eqn. 2, we can find out its moments in order to describe them qualitatively. We can ignore the time index in the steady state. Taking expectations over both sides of Eqn. 2, we get

$$\langle m \rangle = 1. \quad (3)$$

Also, we have the variance of m as

$$V(m) = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2 \quad (4)$$

where $x = (\lambda + \epsilon(1-\lambda))m + \xi(1-\lambda)$. Now, we make a few almost


trivial observations. Note the fact that $\langle x \rangle = 1$. Hence, $\langle m^2 \rangle$ can be written as $V(m) + \langle m \rangle^2$ (by defn. of $V(m)$) i.e., $V(m) + 1$. Also, ϵ and ξ are uniformly distributed. Therefore, $\langle \epsilon \rangle = 1/2 = \langle \xi \rangle$ and $\langle \epsilon^2 \rangle = 1/3 = \langle \xi^2 \rangle$ (recall that $V(\epsilon) = 1/12 = V(\xi)$). Using all of these and by expanding Eqn. 4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} V(m) = & [\lambda^2 + \lambda(1-\lambda) + \frac{1}{3}(1-\lambda)^2] (V(m) + 1) \\ & + \frac{1}{3}(1-\lambda)^2 \lambda(1-\lambda) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda)^2 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying the above expression we get the result for $\lambda \neq 1$,

$$V(m) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2-\lambda} \right) \quad (5)$$

which clearly shows that the distribution tends to a delta function as $\lambda \rightarrow 1$. It is noteworthy that though these distributions have a visual resemblance with the Γ distributions, they are not exactly so. With $\lambda = 0$, Eqn. 2 produces a distribution with a sharp peak at m very close to 1. However, for $\lambda > 0.3$ this sharpness goes away.



Stable distributions generated by Eqn. 2: Three cases are shown above.

Fig. 1.

One can consider an even simpler case with $\xi_t = \epsilon_t$ for all t so that the map becomes

$$m(t+1) = \lambda m(t) + \epsilon(1 - \lambda)(m(t) + 1) \quad (6)$$

which is almost the same as the usual kinetic exchange model with a constant savings factor i.e., the CC model (See Ref. (chakraborti;00, ?); See also Ref. (acbk;07, ?)),

$$m_i(t+1) = \lambda m_i(t) + \epsilon(1 - \lambda)(m_i(t) + m_j(t)). \quad (7)$$

The variance of the distribution generated by Eqn.6 is given by

$$V(m) = \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{2 + \lambda} \right). \quad (8)$$

For the sake of completeness, we mention that the variance of the distribution generated by Eqn. 7 is given by (See Ref. (richmond;05, ?))

$$V(m) = \frac{(1 - \lambda)}{(1 + 2\lambda)}. \quad (9)$$


It is noteworthy that for $\lambda = 0$, the distribution generated by Eqn. 6 has a peculiar form. For $m \leq 1$, $P(m)$ is uniformly distributed and for $m > 1$, the distribution has an excellent fit with $P(m) = 0.5 \exp(-(m - 1)^{1.5})$ (See the inset of the Fig. 2). For larger values of λ , the distribution looks like a Γ function (See Fig. 2). It is to be noted that we cannot generate an exponential distribution from Eqn. 8 since its maximum variance is $1/2$ (for $\lambda = 0$) whereas the variance of the exponential distribution generated by the following Eqn. (See Ref. (acbk;07, ?))

$$m_i(t + 1) = \epsilon (m_i(t) + m_j(t)) \quad (10)$$

is unity. Hence this model can not generate purely exponential distribution. An intuitive explanation can very easily be provided. Recall that with $\lambda = 0$, Eqn. 6 is

$$m_i(t + 1) = \epsilon (m_i(t) + 1). \quad (11)$$

Clearly in Eqn. 10, $m_i(t + 1)$ has two sources of variations, one is variation in ϵ and the other is the variation in $m_j(t)$. It is the second term which is absent in Eqn. 11. In fact, it is substituted there by 1 i.e., the average value of $m_j(t)$ (in a population with $M/N = 1$). Therefore, there is a loss of variability in Eqn. 11 and hence, its variance is less. Comparison between Eqn. 8 and Eqn. 9 also shows that the variance of the system given by Eqn. 6 is consistently less than that of Eqn. 7 (for all $\lambda < 1$).



Stable distributions generated by Eqn. 6: Three cases are shown above.

3.2 Power law distribution


We assume $\lambda_1 = \lambda$, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1 - \lambda$, $-\infty \leq n \leq 0$ and $\theta = \infty$.

The relevant equation is

$$m(t+1) = \{\lambda + \epsilon(1 - \lambda)\} m(t) + \xi(1 - \lambda)^n. \quad (12)$$


We show that the distribution of the average value of m depends on the distribution of λ . In particular, if λ is uniformly distributed, then m 's distribution would follow a power law. This implies that if there is a population of agents with different λ but

each of the income evolution process is modelled by Eqn. 12, then a power law in the income distribution will be observed. Note that we did not require the population to be interacting to generate the result as was assumed by the earlier models (acbk;07, ?).



A power law distribution ($n = -20$ in Eqn. 12). The straight line w

Fig. 3.



A power law distribution ($n = 0$ in Eqn. 12). The straight line with

Fig. 4.

A very simple proof is considered below. Note that (in the steady state) by taking expectations on both sides of the equation, we can rewrite it as

$$(1 - \lambda)^{1-n} \langle m \rangle = 1 \quad (13)$$

By taking total differentiation and rearranging terms, we get

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dm} = \frac{1}{1-n} m^{-\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \quad (14)$$

where m represents $\langle m \rangle$. Hence, the average amount of money held by an agent with a particular λ is given by Eqn. 13. Also, the relation between the distribution of λ with that of m is given

by the following Eqn.

$$P(m) dm = \rho(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (15)$$

Eqn. 14 and 15 shows that in an population of agents with uniformly distributed λ , the distribution of m would be

$$P(m) \sim m^{-\frac{n-2}{n-1}}. \quad (16)$$

It is trivial to note that the CCM model (See Ref. (chatterjee;04, ?); See also Ref. (acbkc;07, ?)) derived the result analogous to the case where $n = 0$ (see Fig. 4). Also, if n is large in modulus then the steady state would be power law distribution with power -1 (see Fig. 3).

3.3 A non-trivial phase transition

Here, we briefly mention that a special case of the map shows a non-trivial phase transition. If we assume $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$, $\lambda_3 = 0$, $n = 1$ and $\theta = 1$. The equation becomes

$$m(t+1) = \min \{ \lambda(1+\epsilon)m(t), 1 \} \quad (17)$$

Ref. (lallouache;10, ?) considered this map and showed that this map shows a phase transition (with respect to λ) in the average value of m , the critical point being $\lambda_c \simeq 0.6796$. For further details on this map, see Ref. (lallouache;10, ?). Interestingly, this map has a parallel in the kinetic exchange models, also discusses in the same reference. For detailed analysis on the nature of such transitions see Ref. (sen;10, ?; biswas;10, ?).

3.4 The law of proportionate effect

We assume $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$, $\lambda_3 = 0$ and $\theta = \infty$.

The equation becomes

$$m(t+1) = (1 + \epsilon_t) m(t) \quad (18)$$

which is very wellknown as a generator of log-normal distribution (See Ref. (gibrat;31, ?)). To find the distribution of $m(t)$, note that the above equation can be rewritten (by iteration) as

$$m(t+1) = \prod_t (1 + \epsilon_t) m(1) \quad (19)$$

and by taking log on both sides, it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \log m(t+1) &= \sum_t \log(1 + \epsilon_t) + \log m(1) \\ &= \sum_t \epsilon_t + \log m(1) \quad (\text{by approximation}). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

By applying the Central Limit Theorem, we see that $\log m(t)$ is distributed normally, hence $m(t)$ is distributed log-normally.

4 Summary

In recent years, a number of economic regularities have been investigated in the econophysics literature, one of the most promi-

nent themes being the distributions of income/wealth. The two candidate distributions for explaining the left tail and the mode of the distributions of income/wealth are Gamma and Log-normal. There is a consensus that the heavy right tail is best described by a power law (See Ref. (yako-rosser;09, ?; acbkc;07, ?)). Also, the process of opinion formation due to interactions among numerous agents have been studied in details. The phenomena of emerging consensus and a phase transition in the opinion formation have been tried to be modelled in many ways (See Ref. (weisbuch, ?; lallouache;10, ?)).

In this paper, we examine a simple iterative equation to model the economic process of income/wealth distribution and the sociological process of opinion formation. Previously, a class of models called the kinetic exchange models, had been employed to understand each of these phenomena (acbkc;07, ?; lallouache;10, ?). We show that there are some completely new results produced by this map model whereas other results mostly conform with those derived in the kinetic exchange models.

Acknowledgement

I am grateful to Arnab Chatterje and Bikas K. Chakrabarti for some useful discussions and careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- V. Yakovenko, J. B. Rosser, *Colloquium: Statistical mechanics of money, wealth and income*, Rev. Mod. Phys., **81** (2009) 1703-25.
- C. Kleiber, S. Kotz, *Statistical size distributions in economics and acturial sciences*, Wiley, New Jersey, 2003.
- R. Gibrat, *Les inegalites economiques*, Libraire du Recueil Sirey, Paris, 1931.
- V. Pareto, *Cours d'economie politique*, F. Rouge, Laussane, 1897.

- A. A. Dragulescu, V. M. Yakovenko, *Evidence for exponential distribution of income in the USA*, Eur. Phys. J. B **20** 585-89.
- A. A. Dragulescu, V. M. Yakovenko, *Exponential and power law probability distribution of wealth and income in the United Kingdom and the United States*, Physica A **299** (2001) 213-221.
- A. Chatterjee, B. K. Chakrabarti, *Kinetic exchange models for income and wealth distributions*, Eur. Phys. J. B **60** (2007) 135-49.
- A. S. Chakrabarti, B. K. Chakrabarti, *Statistical theories of income and wealth distribution*, Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-journal 4, 2010-4.
- G. Deffuant, F. Amblard, G. Weisbuch, T. Faure, *How can extremism prevail? A study based on the relative agreement interaction model*, J. Art. Soc. Soc. Simul. **5** (2002) 4.
- G. Weisbuch, G. Deffuant, F. Amblard, *Persuasion dynamics*, Physica A **353** (2005) 555-75.
- P. Holme, M. E. J. Newman, *Nonequilibrium phase transition in the coevolution of networks and opinions*, Phys. Rev. E **74** (2006) 056108.
- M. Lallouache, A. S. Chakrabarti, A. Chakraborti, B. K. Chakrabarti, *Opinion formation in the kinetic exchange model: Spontaneous symmetry breaking transition*, Physical Review E **82** (2010) 056112.
- A. Chakraborti, B. K. Chakrabarti, *Statistical mechanics of money; How savings propensity affects its distribution*, Eur. Phys. J. B **17** (2000) 167-170.
- P. Repetowicz, S. Hutzler, P. Richmond, *Dynamics of money and income distributions*, Physica A **356** (2005) 641-54.
- A. Chatterjee, B. K. Chakrabarti, S. S. Manna, *Pareto law in a kinetic model of market with random saving propensity*, Physica A **335** (2004) 155-163.
- P. Sen, *Phase transitions in a two parameter model of opinion dynamics with random kinetic exchanges*, arXiv:1009.4315.
- S. Biswas, A. K. Chandra, A. Chatterjee, *Phase transition and non-equilibrium relaxation in kinetic models of opinion formation*, arXiv:1010.3190.