

Stability in the Busemann-Petty and Shephard problems

ALEXANDER KOLDOBSKY

Department of Mathematics
University of Missouri
Columbia, MO 65211

Email: koldobskiya@missouri.edu

ABSTRACT. A comparison problem for volumes of convex bodies asks whether inequalities $f_K(\xi) \leq f_L(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ imply that $\langle \text{vol} \rangle_n(K) \leq \langle \text{vol} \rangle_n(L)$, where K, L are convex bodies in $\langle \mathbb{R} \rangle^n$, and f_K is a certain geometric characteristic of K . By linear stability in comparison problems we mean that there exists a constant c such that for every $\langle \mathbf{e} \rangle > 0$, the inequalities $f_K(\xi) \leq f_L(\xi) + \langle \mathbf{e} \rangle$ for all $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ imply that $(\langle \text{vol} \rangle_n(K))^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq (\langle \text{vol} \rangle_n(L))^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + c\langle \mathbf{e} \rangle$.

We prove such results in the settings of the Busemann-Petty and Shephard problems and their generalizations. We consider the section function $f_K(\xi) = S_K(\xi) = \langle \text{vol} \rangle_{n-1}(K \cap \xi^\perp)$ and the projection function $f_K(\xi) = P_K(\xi) = \langle \text{vol} \rangle_{n-1}(K|_{\xi^\perp})$, where ξ^\perp is the central hyperplane perpendicular to ξ , and $K|_{\xi^\perp}$ is the orthogonal projection of K to ξ^\perp . In these two cases we prove linear stability under additional conditions that K is an intersection body or L is a projection body, respectively. Then we consider other functions f_K , which allows to remove the additional conditions on the bodies in higher dimensions.

1. INTRODUCTION

A typical comparison problem for the volume of convex bodies asks whether inequalities

$$f_K(\xi) \leq f_L(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}$$

imply $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$ for any K, L from a certain class of origin-symmetric convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , where f_K is a certain geometric characteristic of K and Vol_n is the n -dimensional volume.

If $f_K = S_K$ is the section function of K defined by

$$S_K(\xi) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(K \cap \xi^\perp), \quad \xi \in S^{n-1},$$

where ξ^\perp is the central hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^n orthogonal to ξ , the corresponding comparison question is the matter of the Busemann-Petty problem, raised in 1956 in [BP] and solved in the end of the 1990's as the result of a sequence of papers [LR], [Ba], [Gi], [Bo], [L], [Pa], [G1], [G2], [Z1], [Z2], [K2], [K3], [Z3], [GKS]; see [K4, p. 3] or [G3, p. 343] for the history of the solution. The answer is affirmative if $n \leq 4$, and it is negative if $n \geq 5$.

Another example is the Shephard problem with $f_K = P_K$ being the projection function

$$P_K(\xi) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(K|\xi^\perp), \quad \xi \in S^{n-1},$$

where $K|\xi^\perp$ is the orthogonal projection of K to the hyperplane ξ^\perp . The Shephard problem was posed in 1964 in [Sh] and solved soon after that by Petty [Pe] and Schneider [S1]. The answer is affirmative only in dimension 2.

Since the answers to the Busemann-Petty and Shephard problems are negative in most dimensions, one may ask what information about the functions S_K and P_K does allow to compare the volumes in all dimensions. In the section case an answer to this question was given in [KYY]: for two origin-symmetric infinitely smooth bodies K, L in \mathbb{R}^n and $\alpha \in [n-4, n-1)$ the inequalities

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} S_K(\xi) \leq (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} S_L(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1} \quad (1)$$

imply that $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$, while for $\alpha < n-4$ this is not necessarily true. Here Δ is the Laplace operator on \mathbb{R}^n , and the fractional powers of the Laplacian are defined by

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} (|x|_2^\alpha \hat{f}(x))^\wedge,$$

where the Fourier transform is considered in the sense of distributions, $|x|_2$ stands for the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^n , and the functions S_K and S_L are extended in (1) to homogeneous functions of degree -1 on the whole \mathbb{R}^n . This result contains the solution to the original Busemann-Petty problem as a particular case and means that one has to differentiate the section functions at least $n-4$ times in order to compare the n -dimensional volumes.

The situation is different for projections where a similar extension does not directly generalize the solution to Shephard's problem. Yaskin [Y] proved that for $\alpha \in [n, n+1)$ the inequalities

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} P_K(\xi) \geq (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} P_L(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1} \quad (2)$$

imply that $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$, where the projection functions are extended to homogeneous functions of degree 1 on the whole \mathbb{R}^n . The latter result is no longer true for $\alpha \in [n-2, n)$, which would be a natural extension of the solution to the original Shephard's problem. [\(medbreak\)](#) In this article we study the flexibility of the results mentioned above. By *linear stability* in a comparison result we mean that there exists a constant c such that for any K, L from certain classes of convex bodies and every $\varepsilon > 0$ the inequalities

$$f_K(\xi) \leq f_L(\xi) + \varepsilon, \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}$$

imply

$$\mathrm{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \mathrm{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + c\varepsilon.$$

We also consider *linear separation* in comparison problems, where we are looking for a constant c such that for any K, L from certain classes of convex bodies and every $\varepsilon > 0$ the inequalities

$$f_K(\xi) \leq f_L(\xi) - \varepsilon, \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}$$

imply

$$\mathrm{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \mathrm{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - c\varepsilon.$$

We first prove linear stability and separation for the section function $f_K = S_K$ under the additional assumption that K is an intersection body. In the stability result the constant $c = 1$, but in the case of separation c depends on the inradius of K and on the dimension n . Since every origin-symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , $2 \leq n \leq 4$ is an intersection body, in these dimensions the results apply to arbitrary origin-symmetric convex bodies K, L . An easy consequence (see Corollary 3) is that for $2 \leq n \leq 4$ and any origin-symmetric convex bodies K, L in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\left| \mathrm{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - \mathrm{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \right| \leq \|S_K - S_L\|_{C(S^{n-1})}.$$

We also prove linear stability and separation for the projection function $f_K = P_K$ under the additional assumption that L is a projection body. Here in the stability result the constant c depends on n and on the circumradius of L , while in the case of separation we have $c = \sqrt{1/e}$.

In order to remove the additional assumptions on the bodies and make the results work in general in higher dimensions, we prove linear stability and separation in the results from [KYY] and [Y] mentioned above. We consider the cases where

$$f_K = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} S_K, \quad \alpha \in [n-4, n-1]$$

and

$$f_K = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} P_K, \quad \alpha \in [n, n+1),$$

and K, L are arbitrary infinitely smooth convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . In the stability case the constant c for sections depends only on α and n , while for projections the constant also depends on the circumradius of L . In the separation case, c depends only on α and n for projections, and also depends on the inradius of K for sections.

In most cases we employ the techniques of the Fourier analytic approach to sections and projections that has recently been developed; see [K4] and [KY]. We use a more geometric Radon transform approach in the case $f_K = S_K$ to show the variety of methods, it is also possible to solve this case with the Fourier transform.

2. STABILITY AND SEPARATION FOR SECTIONS

We say that a closed bounded set K in \mathbb{R}^n is a *star body* if every straight line passing through the origin crosses the boundary of K at exactly two points different from the origin, the origin is an interior point of K , and the *Minkowski functional* of K defined by

$$\|x\|_K = \min \{a \geq 0 : x \in aK\}$$

is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}^n .

The *radial function* of a star body K is defined by

$$\rho_K(x) = \|x\|_K^{-1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If $x \in S^{n-1}$ then $\rho_K(x)$ is the radius of K in the direction of x .

Writing the volume of K in polar coordinates, one gets

$$\text{Vol}_n(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n(\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-n} d\theta. \quad (3)$$

The *spherical Radon transform* $R: C(S^{n-1}) \mapsto C(S^{n-1})$ is a linear operator defined by

$$Rf(\xi) = \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \xi^\perp} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in S^{n-1}$$

for every function $f \in C(S^{n-1})$.

The polar formula for the volume of a hyperplane section expresses this volume in terms of the spherical Radon transform (see for example [K4, p.15]):

$$S_K(\xi) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(K \cap \xi^\perp) = \frac{1}{n-1} R(\|\cdot\|_K^{-n+1})(\xi). \quad (4)$$

The spherical Radon transform is self-dual (see [Gr, Lemma 1.3.3]): for any functions $f, g \in C(S^{n-1})$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} Rf(\xi) g(\xi) d\xi = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(\xi) Rg(\xi) d\xi. \quad (5)$$

The spherical Radon transform can be extended to measures. Let μ be a finite Borel measure on S^{n-1} . We define the spherical Radon transform of μ as a functional $R\mu$ on the space $C(S^{n-1})$ acting by

$$(R\mu, f) = (\mu, Rf) = \int_{S^{n-1}} Rf(x) d\mu(x).$$

By Riesz's characterization of continuous linear functionals on the space $C(S^{n-1})$, $R\mu$ is also a finite Borel measure on S^{n-1} . If μ has continuous density g , then by (5) the Radon transform of μ has density Rg .

The class of intersection bodies was introduced by Lutwak [L]. Let K, L be origin-symmetric star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . We say that K is the intersection body of L if the radius of K in every direction is equal to the $(n-1)$ -dimensional volume of the section of L by the central hyperplane orthogonal to this direction, i.e. for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\rho_K(\xi) = \|\xi\|_K^{-1} = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap \xi^\perp). \quad (6)$$

All the bodies K that appear as intersection bodies of different star bodies form *the class of intersection bodies of star bodies*.

Note that the right-hand side of (6) can be written using (4):

$$\|\xi\|_K^{-1} = \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1} \cap \xi^\perp} \|\theta\|_L^{-n+1} d\theta = \frac{1}{n-1} R(\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+1})(\xi),$$

where R is the spherical Radon transform. It means that a star body K is the intersection body of a star body if and only if the function $\|\cdot\|_K^{-1}$ is the spherical Radon transform of a continuous positive function on S^{n-1} . This allows us to introduce a more general class of bodies. We say that a star body K in \mathbb{R}^n is an *intersection body* if there exists a finite Borel measure μ on the sphere S^{n-1} so that $\|\cdot\|_K^{-1} = R\mu$ as functionals on $C(S^{n-1})$, i.e. for every continuous function f on S^{n-1} ,

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-1} f(x) dx = \int_{S^{n-1}} Rf(x) d\mu(x). \quad (7)$$

Intersection bodies played the crucial role in the solution of the Busemann-Petty problem due to the following connection found by Lutwak [L]: if K is an origin-symmetric intersection body in \mathbb{R}^n and L is any origin-symmetric star body in \mathbb{R}^n , then the inequalities $S_K(\xi) \leq S_L(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ imply that $\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)$, i.e. the answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in this situation is affirmative. For more information about intersection bodies, see [K4, Ch.4], [KY], [G3, Ch.8] and references there.

In this section we prove the stability of Lutwak's connection. First, we need some simple facts about the Γ -function.

Lemma 1. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$1 \leq \frac{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} \leq \sqrt{e},$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}{\left(\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} \leq \frac{n^{\frac{n-1}{n}} 2^{\frac{1}{n}}}{n-1}$$

and

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \leq \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{2}}.$$

Proof : The the first inequality see for example [KL, Lemma 2.1]. The second inequality is a simple modification of the lower estimate in the first, using the property $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$ of the Γ -function.

The third inequality follows from log-convexity of the Γ -function (see [K4, p.30]):

$$\Gamma^2\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) \leq \Gamma\left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \Gamma^2\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right),$$

and

$$\Gamma^2\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \leq \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = \frac{2}{n} \Gamma^2\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right). \square$$

(bigbreak)

Theorem 2. Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$, K and L are origin-symmetric star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , and K is an intersection body. If for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$

$$S_K(\xi) \leq S_L(\xi) + \varepsilon, \quad (8)$$

then

$$\text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + \varepsilon.$$

Proof : By (4), the condition (8) can be written as

$$R(\|\cdot\|_K^{-n+1})(\xi) \leq R(\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+1})(\xi) + (n-1)\varepsilon, \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}. \quad (9)$$

Since K is an intersection body, there exists a finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} such that $\|\cdot\|_K^{-1} = R\mu$ as functionals on $C(S^{n-1})$. Together with (3), (9) and the definition of $R\mu$, the latter implies that

$$\begin{aligned} n\text{Vol}_n(K) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-1} \|x\|_K^{-n+1} dx \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-n+1} d(R\mu)(x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} R(\|\cdot\|_K^{-n+1})(\xi) d\mu(\xi) \\ &\leq \int_{S^{n-1}} R(\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+1})(\xi) d\mu(\xi) + (n-1)\varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} d\mu(\xi) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-1} \|x\|_L^{-n+1} dx + (n-1)\varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} d\mu(x). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We estimate the first term in (10) using Hölder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-1} \|x\|_L^{-n+1} dx &\leq \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-n} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_L^{-n} dx \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \\ &= n \text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We now estimate the second term in (10) adding the Radon transform of the unit constant function under the integral ($R1(x) = |S^{n-2}|$ for every $x \in S^{n-1}$), using again the fact that $\|\cdot\|_K^{-1} = R\mu$ and then applying Hölder's inequality:

$$(n-1)\varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} d\mu(x) = \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{|S^{n-2}|} \int_{S^{n-1}} R1(x) d\mu(x) \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{|S^{n-2}|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-1} dx \\ &\leq \frac{(n-1)\varepsilon}{|S^{n-2}|} |S^{n-1}|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-n} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where

$$|S^{n-2}| = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})} \quad \text{and} \quad |S^{n-1}| = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}$$

are the surface areas of the unit spheres in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and \mathbb{R}^n , correspondingly.

We get that the quantity in (13) is equal to

$$\frac{(n-1)\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}{2^{\frac{1}{n}}\left(\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}\varepsilon(n\text{Vol}_n(K))^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq n\varepsilon(\text{Vol}_n(K))^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

by the second inequality of Lemma 1.

Combining the latter inequality with (10) and (11),

$$n\text{Vol}_n(K) \leq n\text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{1}{n}}\text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + n\varepsilon(\text{Vol}_n(K))^{\frac{1}{n}}. \square$$

<bigbreak> It is known that for $2 \leq n \leq 4$ every origin symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^n is an intersection body (see [G2], [Z3], [GKS] or [K4, p. 73]). This means that the result of Theorem 2 holds in these dimensions for arbitrary origin-symmetric convex bodies K, L . Moreover, interchanging K, L in Theorem 2, we prove

Corollary 3. *If $2 \leq n \leq 4$, then for any origin-symmetric convex bodies K, L in \mathbb{R}^n ,*

$$\left| \text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \right| \leq \|S_K - S_L\|_{C(S^{n-1})}.$$

<bigbreak>

We now prove the linear separation property of Lutwak's connection. Denote by

$$r(K) = \frac{\min_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} \rho_K(\xi)}{\text{Vol}_n(K)^{1/n}}$$

the normalized inradius of K .

Theorem 4. *Let K and L be origin-symmetric star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume that K is an intersection body. If for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$*

$$S_K(\xi) \leq S_L(\xi) - \varepsilon, \quad (14)$$

then

$$\text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{n+1}} r(K) \varepsilon.$$

Proof : The proof goes along the same lines as that of Theorem 2, with the difference that now we need a lower estimate in place of the upper estimate (13). Similarly to (10) and (11), we get

$$n \text{Vol}_n(K) \leq n \text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - (n-1) \varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} d\mu(x). \quad (15)$$

Similarly to (12),

$$(n-1) \varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} d\mu(x) = \frac{(n-1) \varepsilon}{|S^{n-2}|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-1} dx,$$

and, since $\|x\|_K^{-1} = \rho_K(x)$ for $x \in S^{n-1}$, using the definition of $r(K)$ we estimate the latter by

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{\varepsilon(n-1) r(K) \text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} |S^{n-1}|}{|S^{n-2}|} \\ &= \varepsilon r(K) n \text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{(n-1) \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}{n \pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \end{aligned}$$

(we multiplied and divided by n and now use $\Gamma(x+1) = x \Gamma(x)$ and the third inequality of Lemma 1)

$$= \varepsilon r(K) n \text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)} \geq \varepsilon r(K) n \text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{n+1}}.$$

Combining this with (15), we get

$$n \text{Vol}_n(K) \leq n \text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - n \varepsilon r(K) \text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{n+1}}. \square$$

<bigbreak>

We now pass to stability in the comparison result from [KYY]. The goal here is to establish stability of volume comparison in dimensions higher than 4 without the assumption that K is an intersection body. We use the techniques of the Fourier approach to sections of convex bodies that has recently been developed; see [K4] and [KY].

The Fourier transform of a distribution f is defined by $\langle \hat{f}, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \hat{\phi} \rangle$ for every test function ϕ from the Schwartz space \mathcal{S} of rapidly decreasing infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^n . For any even distribution f , we have $(\hat{f})^\wedge = (2\pi)^n f$.

If K is a star body and $0 < p < n$, then $\|\cdot\|_K^{-p}$ is a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^n and represents a distribution. Suppose that K is infinitely smooth, i.e. $\|\cdot\|_K \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$ is an infinitely differentiable function on the sphere. Then by [K4, Lemma 3.16], the Fourier transform of $\|\cdot\|_K^{-p}$ is an extension of some function $g \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$ to a homogeneous function of degree $-n+p$ on \mathbb{R}^n . When we write $(\|\cdot\|_K^{-p})^\wedge(\xi)$, we mean $g(\xi)$, $\xi \in S^{n-1}$. If K, L are infinitely smooth star bodies, the following spherical version of Parseval's formula was proved in [K5] (see [K4, Lemma 3.22]): for any $p \in (-n, 0)$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} (\|\cdot\|_K^{-p})^\wedge(\xi) (\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+p})^\wedge(\xi) = (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-p} \|x\|_L^{-n+p} dx. \quad (16)$$

A distribution is called *positive definite* if its Fourier transform is a positive distribution in the sense that $\langle \hat{f}, \phi \rangle \geq 0$ for every non-negative test function ϕ . The following was proved in [KYY]:

Lemma 5. ([KYY, Lemma 2.3]) *Let K be an origin-symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that $\alpha \in [n-4, n-1]$, then $\|x\|_K^{-1} \cdot |x|_2^{-\alpha}$ is a positive definite distribution on \mathbb{R}^n .*

If K is infinitely smooth, by Lemma 5 and [K4, Lemma 3.16], the Fourier transform $(|x|_2^{-\alpha} \|x\|_K^{-1})^\wedge$ is an extension of a non-negative infinitely differentiable function on S^{n-1} to the whole \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 6. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha \in [n-4, n-1]$, and let K and L be origin-symmetric infinitely smooth convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 4$, so that for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$*

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} S_K(\xi) \leq (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} S_L(\xi) + \varepsilon. \quad (17)$$

Then

$$\text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + c\varepsilon,$$

where

$$c = c(\alpha, n) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} (n-1) \Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha-1}{2})}{2^{\alpha+\frac{1}{n}} n^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}) (\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}))^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}.$$

Proof : It was proved in [K1] that

$$S_K(\xi) = \frac{1}{\pi(n-1)} (\|x\|_K^{-n+1})^\wedge(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}. \quad (18)$$

Extending $S_K(\xi)$ to \mathbb{R}^n as a homogeneous function of degree -1 and using the definition of fractional powers of the Laplacian we get

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} S_K(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi(n-1)} (|x|_2^\alpha \|x\|_K^{-n+1})^\wedge(\theta),$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^n n \text{Vol}_n(K) &= (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-n+1} \|x\|_K^{-1} dx \\ &= (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} (|x|_2^{-\alpha} \|x\|_K^{-1}) (|x|_2^\alpha \|x\|_K^{-n+1}) dx \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} (|x|_2^{-\alpha} \|x\|_K^{-1})^\wedge(\theta) (|x|_2^\alpha \|x\|_K^{-n+1})^\wedge(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \pi(n-1) \int_{S^{n-1}} (|x|_2^{-\alpha} \|x\|_K^{-1})^\wedge(\theta) (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} S_K(\theta) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used Parseval's formula on the sphere (16). By Lemma 5, $(|x|_2^{-\alpha} \|x\|_K^{-1})^\wedge$ is a non-negative function on S^{n-1} , and we can use (17) to estimate the latter quantity:

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \pi(n-1) \int_{S^{n-1}} (|x|_2^{-\alpha} \|x\|_K^{-1})^\wedge(\theta) (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} S_L(\theta) d\theta \\ &\quad + \pi(n-1) \varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} (|x|_2^{-\alpha} \|x\|_K^{-1})^\wedge(\theta) d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Repeating the above calculation in the opposite order, we get that the first summand in (19) is equal to

$$(2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_L^{-n+1} \|x\|_K^{-1} dx \leq (2\pi)^n n \text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \quad (20)$$

by Hölder's inequality.

To estimate the second summand in (19), we use the formula for the Fourier transform (in the sense of distributions; see [GS, p.194])

$$(|x|_2^{-n+\alpha+1})^\wedge(\theta) = \frac{2^{\alpha+1} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha-1}{2})} |\theta|_2^{-\alpha-1}.$$

Again using Parseval's formula and then Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S^{n-1}} (|x|_2^{-\alpha} \|x\|_K^{-1})^\wedge(\theta) d\theta \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha-1}{2})}{2^{\alpha+1} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2})} \int_{S^{n-1}} (|x|_2^{-\alpha} \|x\|_K^{-1})^\wedge(\theta) (|x|_2^{-n+\alpha+1})^\wedge(\theta) d\theta \\
&= \frac{(2\pi)^n \Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha-1}{2})}{2^{\alpha+1} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2})} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-1} dx \\
&\leq \frac{(2\pi)^n \Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha-1}{2}) |S^{n-1}|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}{2^{\alpha+1} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2})} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-n} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
&= \frac{(2\pi)^n \Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha-1}{2}) |S^{n-1}|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}{2^{\alpha+1} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2})} (n \text{Vol}_n(K))^{\frac{1}{n}}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (19) and (20), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2\pi)^n n \text{Vol}_n(K) &\leq (2\pi)^n n \text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \\
&+ \frac{(2\pi)^n \varepsilon \pi (n-1) n^{\frac{1}{n}} \Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha-1}{2}) |S^{n-1}|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}{2^{\alpha+1} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2})} (\text{Vol}_n(K))^{\frac{1}{n}},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the result. [\(macro|□\)](#) For $\alpha < n-4$ the statement of Theorem 6 is no longer true, simply because the comparison result itself does not hold, as shown in [KYY]. [\(bigbreak\)](#) The corresponding separation result looks as follows:

Theorem 7. *Let $\varepsilon > 0, \alpha \in [n-4, n-1)$, K and L be origin-symmetric infinitely smooth convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 4$, so that for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$*

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} S_K(\xi) \leq (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} S_L(\xi) - \varepsilon. \quad (21)$$

Then

$$\text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - c\varepsilon,$$

where

$$c = r(K) \frac{\pi (n-1) \Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha-1}{2})}{n 2^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}.$$

Proof : Following the proof of Theorem 6, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2\pi)^n n \text{Vol}_n(K) &\leq (2\pi)^n n \text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \\
&- \pi (n-1) \varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} (|x|_2^{-\alpha} \|x\|_K^{-1})^\wedge(\theta) d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

The difference with the proof of Theorem 6 is that now we have to estimate

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} (|x|_2^{-\alpha} \|x\|_K^{-1})^\wedge(\theta) d\theta$$

from below. In the same way as in Theorem 6 we write this integral as

$$\frac{(2\pi)^n \Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha-1}{2})}{2^{\alpha+1} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2})} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-1} dx.$$

The latter integral is greater or equal to $r(K)(\text{Vol}_n(K))^{\frac{1}{n}}|S^{n-1}|$. The result follows. $\langle \text{macro} | \square \rangle$

3. STABILITY AND SEPARATION FOR PROJECTIONS

We need several more definitions from convex geometry. We refer the reader to [S2] for details.

The *support function* of a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n is defined by

$$h_K(x) = \max_{\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n: \|\xi\|_K=1\}} (x, \xi), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If K is origin-symmetric, then h_K is a norm on \mathbb{R}^n .

The *surface area measure* $S(K, \cdot)$ of a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n is defined as follows: for every Borel set $E \subset S^{n-1}$, $S(K, E)$ is equal to Lebesgue measure of the part of the boundary of K where normal vectors belong to E . We usually consider bodies with absolutely continuous surface area measures. A convex body K is said to have the *curvature function*

$$f_K: S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

if its surface area measure $S(K, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure σ_{n-1} on S^{n-1} , and

$$\frac{dS(K, \cdot)}{d\sigma_{n-1}} = f_K \in L_1(S^{n-1}),$$

so f_K is the density of $S(K, \cdot)$.

By the approximation argument of [S2, Th. 3.3.1], we may assume in the formulation of Shephard's problem that the bodies K and L are such that their support functions h_K, h_L are infinitely smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Using [K4, Lemma 3.16] we get in this case that the Fourier transforms $\widehat{h_K}, \widehat{h_L}$ are the extensions of infinitely differentiable functions on the sphere to homogeneous distributions on \mathbb{R}^n of degree $-n-1$. Moreover, by a similar approximation argument (see also [GZ, Section 5]), we may assume that our bodies have absolutely continuous surface area measures. Therefore, in the rest of this section, K and L are convex symmetric bodies with infinitely smooth support functions and absolutely continuous surface area measures.

The following version of Parseval's formula was proved in [KRZ] (see also [K4, Lemma 8.8]):

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h}_K(\xi) \widehat{f}_L(\xi) d\xi = (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K(x) f_L(x) dx. \quad (22)$$

The volume of a body can be expressed in terms of its support function and curvature function:

$$\text{Vol}_n(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K(x) f_K(x) dx. \quad (23)$$

If K and L are two convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n the *mixed volume* $V_1(K, L)$ is equal to

$$V_1(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \frac{\text{Vol}_n(K + \varepsilon L) - \text{Vol}_n(K)}{\varepsilon}.$$

We use the following first Minkowski inequality (see [K4, p.23]): for any convex bodies K, L in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$V_1(K, L) \geq \text{Vol}_n(K)^{(n-1)/n} \text{Vol}_n(L)^{1/n}. \quad (24)$$

The mixed volume can also be expressed in terms of the support and curvature functions:

$$V_1(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(x) f_K(x) dx. \quad (25)$$

Let K be an origin-symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . The *projection body* ΠK of K is defined as an origin-symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^n whose support function in every direction is equal to the volume of the hyperplane projection of K to this direction: for every $\theta \in S^{n-1}$,

$$h_{\Pi K}(\theta) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(K|\theta^\perp). \quad (26)$$

If L is the projection body of some convex body, we simply say that L is a projection body.

Both Petty [Pe] and Schneider [S1] in their solutions of the Shephard problem (see the introduction) used the connection with projection bodies: if the body L (with greater projections) is a projection body then the answer to the question of the Shephard problem is affirmative for any body K . We now prove the stability of this connection.

Define the normalized circumradius of L by

$$R(L) = \frac{\max_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} \rho_L(\xi)}{\text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{1}{n}}}.$$

Theorem 8. *Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$, K and L are origin-symmetric convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , and L is a projection body. If for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$*

$$P_K(\xi) \leq P_L(\xi) + \varepsilon, \quad (27)$$

then

$$\text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{n}} R(L)\varepsilon.$$

Proof : It was proved in [KRZ] that

$$P_K(\xi) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \widehat{f}_K(\theta), \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}, \quad (28)$$

where f_K is extended from the sphere to a homogeneous function of degree $-n-1$ on the whole \mathbb{R}^n , and the Fourier transform \widehat{f}_K is the extension of a continuous function P_K on the sphere to a homogeneous of degree 1 function on \mathbb{R}^n .

Therefore, the condition (27) can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{\pi} \widehat{f}_K(\xi) \leq -\frac{1}{\pi} \widehat{f}_L(\xi) + \varepsilon, \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}. \quad (29)$$

It was also proved in [KRZ] that an infinitely smooth origin-symmetric convex body L in \mathbb{R}^n is a projection body if and only if $\widehat{h}_L \leq 0$ on the sphere S^{n-1} . Therefore, integrating (29) with respect to a negative density,

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h}_L(\xi) \widehat{f}_L(\xi) d\xi \geq \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h}_L(\xi) \widehat{f}_K(\xi) d\xi + \pi\varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h}_L(\xi) d\xi.$$

Using this, (23) and (22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2\pi)^n n \text{Vol}_n(L) &= (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(x) f_L(x) dx = \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h}_L(\xi) \widehat{f}_L(\xi) d\xi \\ &\geq \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h}_L(\xi) \widehat{f}_K(\xi) d\xi + \pi\varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h}_L(\xi) d\xi \\ &= (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(x) f_K(x) dx + \pi\varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} \widehat{h}_L(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

We estimate the first summand from below using the first Minkowski inequality:

$$(2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(x) f_K(x) dx \geq (2\pi)^n n (\text{Vol}_n(L))^{\frac{1}{n}} (\text{Vol}_n(K))^{\frac{n-1}{n}}. \quad (31)$$

To estimate the second summand in (30), note that, by (28), the Fourier transform of the curvature function of the Euclidean ball

$$\widehat{f}_2(\xi) = -\pi \text{Vol}_{n-1}(B_2^{n-1}) = -\frac{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}, \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1},$$

where B_2^{n-1} is the unit Euclidean ball in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi\varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} \hat{h}_L(\xi) d\xi &= -\varepsilon \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \hat{h}_L(\xi) \hat{f}_2(\xi) d\xi \\
&= -(2\pi)^n \varepsilon \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(x) f_2(x) dx \\
&= -(2\pi)^n \varepsilon \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(x) dx \\
&\geq -(2\pi)^n \varepsilon \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} R(L) (\text{Vol}_n(L))^{\frac{1}{n}} |S^{n-1}| \\
&= -(2\pi)^n n \varepsilon \frac{\sqrt{\pi} R(L) (\text{Vol}_n(L))^{\frac{1}{n}} \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we again used Parseval's formula, the fact that $f_2 = 1$, and a simple estimate $h_L(x) \leq R(L) (\text{Vol}_n(L))^{\frac{1}{n}}$.

Combining this with (30) and (31), and using the third inequality of Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2\pi)^n n \text{Vol}_n(L) &\geq (2\pi)^n n (\text{Vol}_n(L))^{\frac{1}{n}} (\text{Vol}_n(K))^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \\
&\quad - (2\pi)^n n \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{n}} R(L) (\text{Vol}_n(L))^{\frac{1}{n}} \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. \square We now prove the corresponding separation result.

Theorem 9. *Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$, K and L are origin-symmetric convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , and L is a projection body. If for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$*

$$P_K(\xi) \leq P_L(\xi) - \varepsilon, \quad (32)$$

then

$$\text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{e}}.$$

Proof : Similarly to the proof of Theorem 8, we get (30), but with negative sign in front of ε :

$$(2\pi)^n n \text{Vol}_n(L) \geq (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(x) f_K(x) dx - \pi\varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} \hat{h}_L(\xi) d\xi. \quad (33)$$

The difference with the proof of Theorem 8 is that now we need an upper estimate for

$$\pi\varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} \hat{h}_L(\xi) d\xi = -(2\pi)^n \varepsilon \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(x) f_2(x) dx.$$

Using the first Minkowski inequality (24), the latter is

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq -(2\pi)^n n\varepsilon \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} (\text{Vol}_n(L))^{\frac{1}{n}} (\text{Vol}_n(B_2^n))^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \\ &= -(2\pi)^n n\varepsilon \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{(\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1))^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} (\text{Vol}_n(L))^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq -\frac{(2\pi)^n n\varepsilon}{\sqrt{e}} (\text{Vol}_n(L))^{\frac{1}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

by the first inequality of Lemma 1. In conjunction with (33) and (24), (25), this implies the result. [\(macro|□\)](#) Finally, we formulate the stability version of the result from [Y] mentioned in the introduction, which treats projections in arbitrary dimension without the additional assumption that L is a projection body. The proof follows the lines of the proof of Theorem 8 with changes corresponding to those in the proof of Theorem 6; we leave this proof to the willing reader, as well as the separation result in this case. Let us just mention that one has to use the fact that for every $\alpha \in [n, n+1)$ the distribution $|x|_2^{-\alpha} h_L(x)$ is positive definite, which is explained in [Y].

Theorem 10. *Let $\varepsilon > 0, \alpha \in [n, n+1)$, K and L be origin-symmetric infinitely smooth convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, so that for every $\xi \in S^{n-1}$*

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} P_L(\xi) \leq (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} P_K(\xi) + \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\text{Vol}_n(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \text{Vol}_n(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + c\varepsilon,$$

where

$$c = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha+1}{2}) |S^{n-1}| R(L)}{2^{\alpha+1} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}) n}.$$

Note that this is no longer true if $\alpha < n$, because the underlying comparison result fails, as shown in [Y]. [\(bigbreak|Acknowledgement.\)](#) The author wishes to thank the US National Science Foundation for support through grants DMS-0652571 and DMS-1001234.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [Ba] K. Ball, *Some remarks on the geometry of convex sets*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1986/87), Lecture Notes in Math. **1317**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1988, 224–231.
- [Bo] J. Bourgain, *On the Busemann-Petty problem for perturbations of the ball*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **1** (1991), 1–13.
- [BP] H. Busemann and C. M. Petty, *Problems on convex bodies*, Math. Scand. **4** (1956), 88–94.
- [G1] R. J. Gardner, *Intersection bodies and the Busemann-Petty problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **342** (1994), 435–445.
- [G2] R. J. Gardner, *A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in three dimensions*, Annals of Math. **140** (1994), 435–447.
- [G3] R. J. Gardner, *Geometric tomography*, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

- [GKS] R. J. Gardner, A. Koldobsky and Th. Schlumprecht, *An analytic solution to the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies*, Annals of Math. **149** (1999), 691–703.
- [GS] I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized functions, vol. 1. Properties and operations*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [Gi] A. Giannopoulos, *A note on a problem of H. Busemann and C. M. Petty concerning sections of symmetric convex bodies*, Mathematika **37** (1990), 239–244.
- [GZ] E. Grinberg and Gaoyong Zhang, *Convolutions, transforms, and convex bodies*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **78** (1999), 77–115.
- [Gr] H. Groemer, *Geometric applications of Fourier series and spherical harmonics*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1996.
- [K1] A. Koldobsky, *An application of the Fourier transform to sections of star bodies*, Israel J. Math. **106** (1998), 157–164.
- [K2] A. Koldobsky, *Intersection bodies, positive definite distributions and the Busemann-Petty problem*, Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), 827–840.
- [K3] A. Koldobsky, *Intersection bodies in \mathbb{R}^4* , Adv. Math. **136** (1998), 1–14.
- [K4] A. Koldobsky, *Fourier analysis in convex geometry*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2005.
- [K5] A. Koldobsky, *A generalization of the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies*, Israel J. Math. **110** (1999), 75–91.
- [KL] A. Koldobsky and M. Lifshits, *Average volume of sections of star bodies*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis, 119–146, Lecture Notes in Math., 1745, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [KRZ] A. Koldobsky, D. Ryabogin and A. Zvavitch, *Projections of convex bodies and the Fourier transform*, Israel J. Math. **139** (2004), 361–380.
- [KYY] A. Koldobsky, V. Yaskin and M. Yaskina, *Modified Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies*, Israel J. Math. **154** (2006), 191–207.
- [KY] A. Koldobsky and V. Yaskin, *The interface between convex geometry and harmonic analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 108, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [LR] D. G. Larman and C. A. Rogers, *The existence of a centrally symmetric convex body with central sections that are unexpectedly small*, Mathematika **22** (1975), 164–175.
- [L] E. Lutwak, *Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Adv. Math. **71** (1988), 232–261.
- [Pa] M. Papadimitrakakis, *On the Busemann-Petty problem about convex, centrally symmetric bodies in \mathbb{R}^n* , Mathematika **39** (1992), 258–266.
- [Pe] C. M. Petty, *Projection bodies*, Proc. Coll. Convexity (Copenhagen 1965), Kobenhavns Univ. Mat. Inst., 234–241.
- [S1] R. Schneider, *Zu einem problem von Shephard über die projektionen konvexer Körper*, Math. Z. **101** (1967), 71–82.
- [S2] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [Sh] G. C. Shephard, *Shadow systems of convex bodies*, Israel J. Math. **2** (1964), 229–306.
- [Y] V. Yaskin, *Modified Shephard’s problem on projections of convex bodies*, Israel J. Math. **168** (2008), 221–238.
- [Z1] Gaoyong Zhang, *Centered bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **345** (1994), 777–801.
- [Z2] Gaoyong Zhang, *Intersection bodies and Busemann-Petty inequalities in \mathbb{R}^4* , Annals of Math. **140** (1994), 331–346.
- [Z3] Gaoyong Zhang, *A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in four dimensions*, Annals of Math. **149** (1999), 535–543.