

Counting cosets of unimodular groups over Dedekind domains

BY MARC ENSENBACH^{1A} UNIVERSITY OF SIEGEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 57068 SIEGEN, GERMANY

Abstract

In this paper, a formula for the calculation of the number of right cosets contained in a double coset with respect to a unimodular group over a Dedekind domain is developed, and applications of this formula in the theory of congruence subgroups – an index formula – and the theory of abstract Hecke algebras – a reduction theorem and an algorithm for the explicit calculation of products – are given.

Keywords: Unimodular group, Dedekind domain, Congruence subgroup, Index formula, Hecke algebra

2010 MSC: 20G30, 20H05, 20C08

1 Introduction

Being able to count right cosets contained in a double coset with respect to a unimodular group U , i.e. being able to determine the cardinality of $U \setminus UAU$ for any given matrix A of appropriate format, is helpful in a multitude of areas.

The formula presented in this article originally has been studied in the field of Hecke algebras. If we want to carry out computational analysis in Hecke theory, we need an algorithm that allows us to multiply two elements of an abstract Hecke algebra. Since the product can be calculated by a multiplication of representatives of right cosets, the task of multiplying elements of an abstract Hecke algebra can essentially be reduced to the search for decompositions of double cosets into right cosets. Knowing how many cosets we have to find allows us to state a randomised algorithm which carries out the decomposition. Moreover, there is an application in the proof of a theoretical result on abstract Hecke algebras. In the “classic” Hecke algebra H_n related to the $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, certain products in H_n can be reduced to products in H_{n-1} . With the presented result this reduction theorem can be generalised to Hecke algebras related to arbitrary norm-finite Dedekind domains in the case $n=2$. Details of these two applications to Hecke algebras can be found in section 5.

Another application is shown in section 4. The set of right cosets with respect to U which are contained in UAU can be related to a right transversal of a certain subgroup of U depending on A . For some special matrices A these subgroups turn out to be congruence subgroups, so we are enabled to calculate indexes of certain congruence subgroups of U .

The remaining sections of this article are organised as follows: In the following section, the notation used in this article is fixed and some basic facts which are used throughout this article are assembled. After that, the main result – a formula for the cardinality of $U \setminus UAU$ – is stated and proved in section 3.

This article is developed from a talk I gave some time ago in the research seminar “Computational Algebra and Number Theory” of Fritz Grunewald, whose unexpected death in 2010 means a great loss for the mathematical community.

2 Preliminaries and Notation

Denote by \mathfrak{o} a norm-finite Dedekind domain, i. e. a Dedekind domain in which $|\mathfrak{o}/a\mathfrak{o}| < \infty$ holds for every $a \in \mathfrak{o}$ (where $|M|$ is the cardinality of the set M). Furthermore, denote by K the field of fractions of \mathfrak{o} , and by \mathfrak{o}^* the group of unities of \mathfrak{o} ; then denote by $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a})$ the multiplicity of a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in the ideal \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{o} (fundamental properties of Dedekind domains and multiplicities can be found for example in [?] Chapter II).

Let I be the set of (2×2) matrices with entries in \mathfrak{o} and non-zero determinant; furthermore, denote by U the set of matrices in I with determinant in \mathfrak{o}^* (in other words $U = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o})$ and $I = \mathrm{GL}_2(K) \cap \mathfrak{o}^{2 \times 2}$). For $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{o}^{2 \times 2}$ one defines the first and second determinantal divisor of A by $\mathfrak{d}_1(A) = a\mathfrak{o} + b\mathfrak{o} + c\mathfrak{o} + d\mathfrak{o}$ and $\mathfrak{d}_2(A) = (\det A)\mathfrak{o}$, respectively. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{e}_1(A) := \mathfrak{d}_1(A)$ and $\mathfrak{e}_2(A) := \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1}$ are called the elementary divisors of A . Additionally, define the fundamental factors $\mathfrak{f}_1(A) := \mathfrak{e}_1(A) = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)$ and $\mathfrak{f}_2(A) := \mathfrak{e}_2(A)\mathfrak{e}_1(A)^{-1} = \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-2}$ and introduce the notation $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ for the g. c. d. of the first column of A , i. e. $\mathfrak{g}(A) = a\mathfrak{o} + c\mathfrak{o}$.

The relation between determinantal divisors and double cosets of U is given in the following theorem, which goes back to Steinitz ([?], see also [?] Theorem 2.2).

Theorem 1. *Let $A, B \in \mathfrak{o}^{2 \times 2}$.*

1. *If A and B have rank 2 (i. e., if $A, B \in I$), the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i)

2. If A and B have rank 1 and the first columns of A and B both contain at least one non-zero element, the following assertions are equivalent:

(i)

In these assertions, the \mathfrak{d}_i can also be replaced by the \mathfrak{e}_i or the \mathfrak{f}_i .

This theorem can not only be used to characterise the equality of double cosets, but also has an application in the proof of the following corollary which allows to state a relation between different generators of the same ideal in \mathfrak{o} .

Corollary 2. Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathfrak{o}$ such that $a\mathfrak{o} + b\mathfrak{o} = c\mathfrak{o} + d\mathfrak{o}$. Then there exists an $R \in U$ satisfying $R \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof 3. In the case $c = d = 0$ we also have $a = b = 0$ and can choose $R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. For the remaining part of the proof assume $c \neq 0$ (without loss of generality). Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since A and B both have rank 1 and satisfy $\mathfrak{d}_1(A) = \mathfrak{d}_1(B)$ as well as $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{g}(B)$, Theorem 1 yields the existence of $P, Q \in U$ such that $PAQ = B$ and thus $PA = BQ^{-1}$. Writing $P = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ p_3 & p_4 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_2 \\ q_3 & q_4 \end{pmatrix}$ and calculating PA as well as BQ^{-1} we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 a + p_2 b & 0 \\ p_3 a + p_4 b & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c q_1 & c q_2 \\ d q_1 & d q_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, we have $c q_2 = 0$, and since $c \neq 0$, this implies $q_2 = 0$. Thus $\det Q^{-1} = q_1 q_4$, which implies $q_1 \in \mathfrak{o}^*$. If we define $R = q_1^{-1} P$, we thus have $R \in U$. Furthermore,

$$R \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} = q_1^{-1} P A = q_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 a + p_2 b & 0 \\ p_3 a + p_4 b & 0 \end{pmatrix} = q_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c q_1 & 0 \\ d q_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which proves $R \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ and completes the proof.

Remark 4. Since there exists a version of Theorem 1 for $A, B \in \mathfrak{o}^{n \times n}$ for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see e. g. [?] Theorem 2.2), Corollary 2 can easily be generalised from two generators to an arbitrary number of generators of an ideal, as long as the number of generators on both sides of the equation are the same.

3 Counting right cosets

In this section a formula for the number of right cosets in a given double coset of U is derived. To begin with, a short example shows how coset counting is carried out in the “nice classic case” $\phi = \mathbb{Z}$. This will serve as a guideline for the subsequent analysis of the general case.

Example 5. *Let $\phi = \mathbb{Z}$. Since ϕ is a principal ideal domain, every right coset UB for $B \in I$ has a unique representative*

$$B' = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } a, d > 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq b < d$$

known as the Hermite normal form of B . Given this normal form, the number of right cosets in a given double coset UAU can be obtained by generating all possible normal forms (in a sensible way) and deciding whether they belong to UAU . The latter can be carried out using Theorem 1 to test for $UB'U = UAU$, so it has to be checked whether $\mathfrak{d}_1(B') = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)$ and $\mathfrak{d}_2(B') = \mathfrak{d}_2(A)$ hold.

As a concrete example construct every right coset representative B' as above contained in UAU where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\mathfrak{d}_2(A) = \mathfrak{d}_2(B')$ is a necessary condition for $UB'U = UAU$, the equation $(\det A)\mathbb{Z} = (\det B')\mathbb{Z}$ and thus $4 = ad$ has to be satisfied. So there are three possible cases:

1. $a = 4$ and $d = 1$,
2. $a = 2$ and $d = 2$, and
3. $a = 1$ and $d = 4$.

For these cases determine, for which values of b the equation $UB'U = UAU$ is fulfilled. To this end, it suffices to test whether $\mathfrak{d}_1(B') = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)$ holds since a and d have already been constructed to satisfy $\mathfrak{d}_2(B') = \mathfrak{d}_2(A)$.

1. *Since $d = 1$ and $0 \leq b < d = 1$, only the case $b = 0$ has to be analysed. Then we have $\mathfrak{d}_1(B') = a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} + d\mathbb{Z} = 4\mathbb{Z} + 0\mathbb{Z} + 1\mathbb{Z} = 1\mathbb{Z} = 1\mathbb{Z} + 4\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)$, so $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a right coset representative in UAU .*
2. *Since $d = 2$ and $0 \leq b < d = 2$, the cases $b = 0$ and $b = 1$ have to be considered. For $b = 0$ we have $\mathfrak{d}_1(B') = 2\mathbb{Z} \neq 1\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)$, so $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ is not an element of UAU . For $b = 1$, however, we have $\mathfrak{d}_1(B') = 1\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)$, so $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ belongs to UAU .*

3. Since $d = 4$ and $0 \leq b < d = 4$, the cases $b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ have to be analysed. Due to $a = 1$ we have $\mathfrak{d}_1(B') = 1\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)$ in any of these cases, so $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ belongs to UAU for every $b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Summarising, in UAU we have found the 6 right coset representatives $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$.

Generalising these considerations, a formula for the number $\mu(A)$ of right cosets contained in UAU can be stated:

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathbb{N} \\ d | \det A}} |\{b \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid b < d \text{ and } \frac{\det A}{d}\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} + d\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)\}|$$

(where $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$). The cardinality of a set $\{b \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid b < d \text{ and } a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} + d\mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)\}$ can be calculated explicitly, which finally leads to a product formula for $\mu(A)$ (not presented in detail since the same steps are to be done for the general case in the following).

The first main ingredient of the approach taken in Example 5 in the classic case was the Hermite normal form. In the general case, another normal form can be constructed – not as “nice” as in the classic case, but nevertheless solving the issue of a uniquely determined representative.

Lemma 6. *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal in ϕ and $b \in \mathfrak{a}$. Choose an $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ satisfying $a \neq 0$ and $a\phi + b\phi = \mathfrak{a}$ (always possible since ϕ is a Dedekind domain) and a transversal T of $(\phi \cap ab^{-1}\phi) / (ba^{-1}\phi \cap ab^{-1}\phi)$. This transversal is finite, and for every $A \in I$ satisfying $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{d}_2(A) = b\phi$ there exists a uniquely determined $c \in T$ such that*

$$U \begin{pmatrix} a & c-1 \\ b & ba^{-1}c \end{pmatrix} = UA.$$

Proof 7. *The finiteness of T follows from the norm-finiteness of ϕ since $ba^{-1}\phi \cap ab^{-1}\phi$ is an ideal in ϕ .*

To prove the uniqueness of c , assume

$$U \begin{pmatrix} a & c-1 \\ b & ba^{-1}c \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} a & d-1 \\ b & ba^{-1}d \end{pmatrix}$$

for $c, d \in T$. Since then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & d-1 \\ b & ba^{-1}d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c-1 \\ b & ba^{-1}c \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} c-d+1 & ab^{-1}(d-c) \\ ba^{-1}(c-d) & 1-c+d \end{pmatrix}$$

has to be an element of U , we obtain in particular $ab^{-1}(d-c) \in \emptyset$ and $ba^{-1}(c-d) \in \emptyset$, which yields $d-c \in ba^{-1}\emptyset \cap ab^{-1}\emptyset$. Since T is a transversal modulo $ba^{-1}\emptyset \cap ab^{-1}\emptyset$ and $c, d \in T$, this shows $c=d$ and thus proves the uniqueness of the representative.

In the remaining part of the proof the existence of the desired representative is shown. Let $A \in I$ satisfying $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{d}_2(A) = b\emptyset$. Since $a\emptyset + b\emptyset = \mathfrak{g}(A)$, by Corollary 2 there exists a $P_1 \in U$ such that $P_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ b & * \end{pmatrix}$. Then let $\varepsilon = b(\det(P_1 A))^{-1} \in \emptyset^*$ and $P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U$, such that $\det(P_2 P_1 A) = b$. Furthermore, the Chinese Remainder Theorem allows us to choose a $p \in \emptyset$ satisfying $p \in (\emptyset \cap ba^{-1}\emptyset) + \varepsilon^{-1}$ and $p \in (\emptyset \cap ab^{-1}\emptyset) + 1$ since $\emptyset \cap ba^{-1}$ and $\emptyset \cap ab^{-1}\emptyset$ are relatively prime. The matrix

$$P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} p & ab^{-1}(1-\varepsilon p) \\ ba^{-1}(p-1) & \varepsilon + 1 - \varepsilon p \end{pmatrix}$$

then is an element of $\emptyset^{2 \times 2}$ with $\det P_3 = 1$, and we have

$$P_3 P_2 P_1 A = P_3 P_2 \begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ b & * \end{pmatrix} = P_3 \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon a & * \\ b & * \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ b & * \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\det(P_3 P_2 P_1 A) = b$, so if the second column of $P_3 P_2 P_1 A$ is denoted by $\begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix}$, we have $as - br = b$ and thus $1+r = ab^{-1}s \in ab^{-1}\emptyset$. Since furthermore $1+r \in \emptyset$, by the choice of T there exists a $c \in T$ satisfying $1+r \in c + (ba^{-1}\emptyset \cap ab^{-1}\emptyset)$. Now let

$$P_4 = \begin{pmatrix} ab^{-1}s - c + 1 & ab^{-1}(c - r - 1) \\ s - ba^{-1}c & c - r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $P_4 \in \emptyset^{2 \times 2}$ and $\det P_4 = 1$ (since $ab^{-1}s = 1+r$ and $c - r - 1 \in ba^{-1}\emptyset \cap ab^{-1}\emptyset$), and putting everything together we have $P_4 P_3 P_2 P_1 \in U$ and

$$P_4 P_3 P_2 P_1 A = P_4 \begin{pmatrix} a & r \\ b & s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(ab^{-1}s - r) & ab^{-1}s(c-1) - cr + r \\ as - br & ba^{-1}c(ab^{-1}s - r) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & c-1 \\ b & ba^{-1}c \end{pmatrix},$$

which shows the existence of a representative with the desired form and thus completes the proof.

With this normal form, a first elementary formula for the number of right cosets with a prescribed g. c. d. of the first column can be given. (All elements of a right coset with respect to U have the same g. c. d. of the first column, so it is possible to talk about the g. c. d. of the first column of a right coset.)

Corollary 8. *Let $A \in I$ and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of ϕ such that $\mathfrak{d}_1(A) \mid \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)$. Choose an $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ satisfying $a\phi + \mathfrak{d}_2(A) = \mathfrak{a}$ (possible since $\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)$ and ϕ is a Dedekind domain), let $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{a}^{-1}a$ as well as $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_2(A)$, and choose a transversal T of $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{qb}$. Then the number $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)$ of right cosets in UAU with \mathfrak{a} as g. c. d. of the first column can be calculated by*

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A) = |\{c \in T \mid \mathfrak{a} + (c-1)\phi + c\mathfrak{q}^{-1}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)\}|.$$

Proof 9. *Since $\mathfrak{a} = a\phi + \mathfrak{d}_2(A) = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{q} + \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$, the ideals \mathfrak{q} and \mathfrak{b} are relatively prime, which yields $\phi \cap a\mathfrak{d}_2(A)^{-1} = \phi \cap \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{b}^{-1} = \mathfrak{q}$ as well as $\mathfrak{d}_2(A)a^{-1} \cap a\mathfrak{d}_2(A)^{-1} = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{q}^{-1} \cap \mathfrak{qb}^{-1} = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{q}$. Thus T is a transversal of $(\phi \cap a\mathfrak{d}_2(A)^{-1})/(\mathfrak{d}_2(A)a^{-1} \cap a\mathfrak{d}_2(A)^{-1})$.*

If UB for some $B \in I$ is a right coset in UAU satisfying $\mathfrak{g}(B) = \mathfrak{a}$, then in particular $\mathfrak{d}_2(B) = \mathfrak{d}_2(A)$, and according to Lemma 6 there exists a uniquely determined representative C of UB of the form described in that Lemma (with $b = \det A$ and $c \in T$, the latter according to the first paragraph of this proof). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \{UB \mid B \in I \text{ with } \mathfrak{g}(B) = \mathfrak{a} \text{ and } UB \subseteq UAU\} \\ &= \left\{ UB \mid B = \begin{pmatrix} a & c-1 \\ \det A & (\det A)a^{-1}c \end{pmatrix} \text{ for some } c \in T \text{ and } B \in UAU \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and since $\mathfrak{d}_2(B) = \mathfrak{d}_2(A)$ for those B , Theorem 1 and $a\phi + \mathfrak{d}_2(A) = \mathfrak{a}$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A) &= \left| \left\{ c \in T \mid \mathfrak{d}_1 \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & c-1 \\ \det A & (\det A)a^{-1}c \end{pmatrix} \right) = \mathfrak{d}_1(A) \right\} \right| \\ &= |\{c \in T \mid \mathfrak{a} + (c-1)\phi + c\mathfrak{q}^{-1}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)\}|. \end{aligned}$$

The formula presented in Corollary 8 is only a first step since it is not very far from a mere enumeration of right cosets. The next step is the establishment of a product formula for the cardinality on the right-hand side. To achieve this, we first need some auxiliary results.

Lemma 10. *In the setting of Corollary 8 the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. $\mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{a} + (c-1)\phi + c\mathfrak{q}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}$.
2. $\mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)$ and $\mathfrak{c} \mid c-1$.

Proof 11. *First assume that (i) is satisfied and show that (ii) is fulfilled. Since (i) implies $\mathfrak{c} \mid (c-1)\phi + c\mathfrak{q}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}$ and c and $c-1$ are relatively prime, we have $\mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{q}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}$ and thus $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{q}^{-1}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d}_2(A)$, which implies $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)$ since $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{a}$. The remaining parts of (ii) follow obviously.*

Now assume that (ii) is fulfilled. For the proof of (i) it remains to show $\mathfrak{c} \mid c\mathfrak{q}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}$. But this follows from $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)$ and $c \in \mathfrak{q}$ since the latter implies $\mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{d}_2(A) = \mathfrak{b}$ and $c\mathfrak{q}^{-1} \subseteq \phi$, so the proof is complete.

The second auxiliary result gives an explicit formula for the cardinality of a certain subset of T needed in the calculation of $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)$.

Lemma 12. *In the setting of Corollary 8 we have*

$$|\{c \in T \mid c-1 \in \mathfrak{c}\}| = N(\mathfrak{b})N(\mathfrak{c})^{-1}$$

for every ideal \mathfrak{c} of ϕ satisfying $\mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{c}^{-1}$.

Proof 13. *In the given setting we have $\mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{b}$, and \mathfrak{b} and \mathfrak{q} are relatively prime, so \mathfrak{c} and \mathfrak{q} are relatively prime. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem there exists a $d \in \mathfrak{q} \cap (\mathfrak{c}+1)$. Then $\{c-d \mid c \in T, c-1 \in \mathfrak{c}\}$ is a transversal of $\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{q}$: All those $c-d$ are elements of $\mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{q}$, the $(c-d) + \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{q}$ are pairwise different since T is a transversal of $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{q}$, and for every $x \in \mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{q}$ there exists a $c \in T$ satisfying $x \in (c-d) + \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{q}$, namely the one satisfying $x+d \in c + \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{q}$, which exists in T since $x+d \in \mathfrak{q}$ and is an element of $\{c \in T \mid c-1 \in \mathfrak{c}\}$ since $x+d \in c + \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{q} \subseteq c + \mathfrak{c}$ and thus $c-1 \in x + (d-1) + \mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c}$. Now the definition and the multiplicity of the norm yields $|\{c \in T \mid c-1 \in \mathfrak{c}\}| = |\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{q}| = N(\mathfrak{b})N(\mathfrak{c})^{-1}$.*

Now we are prepared to prove a product formula for $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)$.

Theorem 14. *Let $A \in I$ and \mathfrak{a} be an ideal in ϕ . If $\mathfrak{d}_1(A) \mid \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1}$, then*

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A) = \frac{N(\mathfrak{d}_2(A))}{N(\mathfrak{a})N(\mathfrak{d}_1(A))} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime ideal} \\ \mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1} + \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1}\mathfrak{a}^{-1}}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}),$$

otherwise, $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A) = 0$ holds.

Proof 15. If $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A) > 0$, then there exists a $B \in UAU$ having \mathfrak{a} as g. c. d. of the first column. Then $\mathfrak{d}_1(A) = \mathfrak{d}_1(B) \mid \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(B) = \mathfrak{d}_2(A)$, so Corollary 8 is applicable. Thus, using the notation introduced in Corollary 8, there exists a $c \in T$ satisfying $\mathfrak{a} + (c-1)\mathfrak{o} + c\mathfrak{q}^{-1}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)$. So Lemma 10 implies $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d}_1(A) \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)$, which shows that $\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1}$ is necessary for $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A) > 0$. Thus it is proved that $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A) = 0$ if $\mathfrak{d}_1(A) \mid \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1}$ does not hold.

In the following assume $\mathfrak{d}_1(A) \mid \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1}$. Denote by \mathfrak{Q} the set of all prime ideals of \mathfrak{o} dividing $\mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-2}$, let $M = \{c \in T \mid \mathfrak{a} + (c-1)\mathfrak{o} + c\mathfrak{q}^{-1}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)\}$ and $M(\mathfrak{c}) = \{c \in T \mid \mathfrak{c} \text{ divides } \mathfrak{a} + (c-1)\mathfrak{o} + c\mathfrak{q}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}\}$ for all ideals \mathfrak{c} of \mathfrak{o} . By the inclusion-exclusion principle we then have

$$|M| = \sum_{\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}} (-1)^{|\mathfrak{M}|} \left| M \left(\mathfrak{d}_1(A) \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{M}} \mathfrak{q} \right) \right|.$$

If for a product \mathfrak{q}' of pairwise distinct prime ideals $\mathfrak{d}_1(A)\mathfrak{q}' \mid \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)(\mathfrak{d}_1(A)\mathfrak{q}')^{-1}$ does not hold, then for $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{d}_1(A)\mathfrak{q}'$ the first or the second condition in Lemma 10 (ii) is violated, which implies $|M(\mathfrak{c})| = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{d}_1(A)\mathfrak{q}' \mid \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)(\mathfrak{d}_1(A)\mathfrak{q}')^{-1}$ is equivalent to $\mathfrak{q}' \mid \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{q}' \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1}\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$, in the above formula \mathfrak{Q} can be replaced by \mathfrak{Q}' where \mathfrak{Q}' denotes the set of all prime ideals of \mathfrak{o} dividing $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1} + \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1}\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$. In the case that \mathfrak{q}' is a product of pairwise distinct prime ideals in \mathfrak{Q}' , the condition $\mathfrak{d}_1(A)\mathfrak{q}' \mid \mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{d}_2(A)(\mathfrak{d}_1(A)\mathfrak{q}')^{-1}$ is satisfied, and Lemma 10 and Lemma 12 yield $M(\mathfrak{d}_1(A)\mathfrak{q}') = |\{c \in T \mid \mathfrak{d}_1(A)\mathfrak{q}' \text{ divides } c-1\}| = N(\mathfrak{b})N(\mathfrak{d}_1(A)\mathfrak{q}')^{-1}$. Plugging this into the above formula and using the multiplicity of the norm and the distributive law we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |M| &= \frac{N(\mathfrak{b})}{N(\mathfrak{d}_1(A))} \sum_{\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}'} (-1)^{|\mathfrak{M}|} N \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{M}} \mathfrak{q} \right)^{-1} = \frac{N(\mathfrak{b})}{N(\mathfrak{d}_1(A))} \sum_{\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}'} \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{M}} (-N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{N(\mathfrak{b})}{N(\mathfrak{d}_1(A))} \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{Q}'} (1 - N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|M| = \mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)$ according to Corollary 8 and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$, the proof is complete.

The just proved formula will be applied in the following

Example 16. Let $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ as well as $\mathfrak{a} = 2\mathbb{Z}$. Theorem 14 then yields

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A) = \frac{N(4\mathbb{Z})}{N(2\mathbb{Z})N(\mathbb{Z})} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime ideal} \\ \mathfrak{p} \mid 2\mathbb{Z}}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}) = \frac{4}{2 \cdot 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) = 1,$$

which corresponds to the results of Example 5, where we had exactly one representative of type $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$, namely $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Since Theorem 14 is just an intermediate result, more interesting cases than $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z}$ will not be discussed at this point.

The formula for $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)$ given in Theorem 14 has several applications. Later we will see how it can be used to prove a reduction theorem in the context of Hecke algebras, but for now we will stick to the already announced goal of a formula for the number of right cosets contained in a given double coset.

Theorem 17. *Let $A \in I$. Then*

$$\mu(A) = N(\mathfrak{f}_2(A)) \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime ideal} \\ \mathfrak{p} | \mathfrak{f}_2(A)}} (1 + N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}).$$

Proof 18. *To calculate $\mu(A)$, we have to sum over all $\mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A)$. Then we use Theorem 14 and rewrite the obtained sum to use $\mathfrak{a}' = \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1}\mathfrak{a}^{-1}$ as summation index:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \text{ ideal in } \mathfrak{o}} \mu_{\mathfrak{a}}(A) \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{d}_1(A) | \mathfrak{a} | \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1}} \frac{N(\mathfrak{d}_2(A))}{N(\mathfrak{a})N(\mathfrak{d}_1(A))} \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime ideal} \\ \mathfrak{p} | \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1} + \mathfrak{d}_2(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(A)^{-1}\mathfrak{a}^{-1}}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{o} | \mathfrak{a}' | \mathfrak{f}_2(A)} N(\mathfrak{a}') \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \text{ prime ideal} \\ \mathfrak{q} | (\mathfrak{a}')^{-1}\mathfrak{f}_2(A) + \mathfrak{a}'}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Using this equality, we can prove the theorem by showing that

$$S(\mathfrak{b}) := \sum_{\mathfrak{o} | \mathfrak{a} | \mathfrak{b}} N(\mathfrak{a}) \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \text{ prime ideal} \\ \mathfrak{q} | \mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{a}}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}) = N(\mathfrak{b}) \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \text{ prime ideal} \\ \mathfrak{q} | \mathfrak{b}}} (1 + N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1})$$

holds for every ideal \mathfrak{b} in \mathfrak{o} (since $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{f}_2(A)$ yields the assertion). We carry out an induction on the number of prime ideals dividing \mathfrak{b} . The initial case $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{o}$ is obvious, so we now assume that there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} which divides \mathfrak{b} . Write $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{p}^m \mathfrak{r}$ with $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{r}$. Analogously split up every \mathfrak{a} as product of a power of \mathfrak{p} and a rest not divided by \mathfrak{p} . Introducing the set $\Omega_{\mathfrak{c}}$ of prime ideals dividing $\mathfrak{c}^{-1}\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{c}$, we then have

$$S(\mathfrak{b}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{o} | \mathfrak{a} | \mathfrak{b}} N(\mathfrak{a}) \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{a}}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{\mathfrak{o} | \mathfrak{c} | \mathfrak{r}} N(\mathfrak{p}^k \mathfrak{c}) \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}^k \mathfrak{c}}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}).$$

If $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{c}$ and \mathfrak{q} is a prime ideal in \mathfrak{o} , the definition of $\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}^k \mathfrak{c}}$ yields

$$\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}^k \mathfrak{c}} \Leftrightarrow (\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p} \text{ and } 1 \leq k < m) \text{ or } (\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p} \text{ and } \mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{c}}).$$

Using this equivalence in the above expression for $S(\mathfrak{b})$, by splitting up the outer sum we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathfrak{b}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\mathfrak{o} \mid \mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{r}} N(\mathfrak{p})^k N(\mathfrak{c}) (1 - N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}) \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{c}}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\mathfrak{o} \mid \mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{r}} N(\mathfrak{c}) \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{c}}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}) + \sum_{\mathfrak{o} \mid \mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{r}} N(\mathfrak{p})^m N(\mathfrak{c}) \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{c}}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the double sum on the right hand side is a telescoping sum, the equation simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathfrak{b}) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{o} \mid \mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{r}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{m-1} N(\mathfrak{c}) \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{c}}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}) + \sum_{\mathfrak{o} \mid \mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{r}} N(\mathfrak{p})^m N(\mathfrak{c}) \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{c}}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}) \\ &= N(\mathfrak{p})^m (1 + N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}) \sum_{\mathfrak{o} \mid \mathfrak{c} \mid \mathfrak{r}} N(\mathfrak{c}) \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{Q}_{\mathfrak{c}}} (1 - N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}) \\ &= N(\mathfrak{p})^m (1 + N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}) S(\mathfrak{r}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathfrak{b}) &= N(\mathfrak{p})^m (1 + N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}) S(\mathfrak{r}) = N(\mathfrak{p})^m (1 + N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}) N(\mathfrak{r}) \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \text{ prime ideal} \\ \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{r}}} (1 + N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}) \\ &= N(\mathfrak{b}) \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \text{ prime ideal} \\ \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{b}}} (1 + N(\mathfrak{q})^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

In the following examples Theorem 17 is applied in a case where \mathfrak{o} is not a principal ideal domain.

Examples 19. Let $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega$ where $\omega = \sqrt{-5}$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $3\mathfrak{o} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2$ with $\mathfrak{p}_1 = 3\mathfrak{o} + (\omega + 1)\mathfrak{o}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2 = 3\mathfrak{o} + (\omega + 2)\mathfrak{o}$, where \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}_2 are prime ideals of norm 3 in \mathfrak{o} , Theorem 17 yields

$$\mu(A) = N(3\mathfrak{o}) \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime ideal} \\ \mathfrak{p} \mid 3\mathfrak{o}}} (1 + N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}) = 9 \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right) = 16.$$

Since 2ϕ has the prime ideal decomposition $(2\phi + (\omega + 1)\phi)^2$, one similarly obtains $\mu\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 6$. Possible choices for the six representatives are calculated in Example 27.

The above examples can be generalised: If ϕ is a quadratic number field and p a rational prime, we have $\mu\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}\right) = (p+1)^2$ if p is split and $\mu\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}\right) = p(p+1)$ otherwise.

To complete this section, already existing results similar to Theorem 17 are shortly reviewed in the following

Remark 20. In the case $\phi = \mathbb{Z}$ we have a so called rationality theorem for abstract Hecke algebras with respect to unimodular groups (see e. g. [?] Theorem V (9.3)). The proof of this theorem uses the fact that the double coset $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) P_j \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ where P_j is a diagonal matrix with j diagonal entries equal to p (for a fixed rational prime p) and the other diagonal entries equal to 1 decomposes into exactly

$$p^{-\frac{j(j+1)}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq v_1 < \dots < v_j \leq n} p^{v_1 + \dots + v_j}$$

right cosets with respect to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. (One easily checks that for $n = 2$ and $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ this yields the same values for $\mu(P_j)$ as Theorem 17.)

Another similar theorem does not count right cosets in double cosets but right cosets in the set of all matrices with the same determinant (modulo units). According to [?] Theorem II.4, the set $\{A \in \phi^{n \times n} \mid \det A \in d\phi^*\}$ decomposes into exactly

$$\prod_{\substack{p \in P \\ p \mid d}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{N(p)^{v_{p\phi}(d) + j} - 1}{N(p)^j - 1}$$

right cosets with respect to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\phi)$ (where P denotes a system of representatives of prime elements in ϕ modulo ϕ^*).

4 Applications to congruence subgroups

In this section, an application of Theorem 17 to the calculation of indexes of certain congruence subgroups is presented.

Corollary 21. *Let $m \in \mathfrak{o}$ with $m \neq 0$ and $U^0[m] = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in U \mid b \in m\mathfrak{o} \}$. Then the index of $U^0[m]$ in U can be calculated by*

$$[U:U^0[m]] = N(m\mathfrak{o}) \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \text{ prime ideal} \\ \mathfrak{p} \mid m}} (1 + N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1}).$$

Proof 22. *Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix}$. A simple calculation using $A \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & m^{-1}b \\ mc & d \end{pmatrix}$ shows that $U \cap A^{-1}UA = U^0[m]$. Since $[U:U \cap A^{-1}UA] = \mu(A)$ (see e. g. [?] Lemma 3.1.2), the assertion immediately follows from Theorem 17.*

Remark 23. *Corollary 21 generalises a similar formula for the index of congruence subgroups in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ which is of interest in the theory of modular forms (see e. g. [?] Section 1.2). However, such index formulae are studied also in other contexts (see e. g. [?]).*

5 Applications to Hecke algebras

As it has already been mentioned, Theorem 17 has been developed with the theory of Hecke algebras in mind. The applications in this field will be presented here.

Denote by H the complex vector space spanned by $\{1_{UAU} \mid A \in I\}$ where $1_M: G \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is the characteristic function of the set M . For $A, A_1, \dots, A_k, B, B_1, \dots, B_m \in I$ with $UAU = UA_1 \cup \dots \cup UA_k$ and $UBU = UB_1 \cup \dots \cup UB_m$ where the unions are pairwise disjoint define

$$1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU} = \sum_{k=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m 1_{UA_i B_j}$$

and extend this operation bilinearly to a (well-defined(!)) operation on H . The obtained algebra is called an (abstract) Hecke algebra; for details see e. g. [?]. The formula

$$(1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(C) = |\{(i, j) \mid A_i B_j \in UC, 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m\}|,$$

which can be found in [?] I.4.4, immediately yields an algorithm for the calculation of $1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU}$.

Algorithm 24. *input: $A, B \in I$; output: $D \subseteq I$ and $c_C \in \mathbb{N}$ for every $C \in D$ such that*

$$1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU} = \sum_{C \in D} c_C 1_{UCU}$$

1. *Decompose UAU and UBU into pairwise disjoint right cosets UA_1, \dots, UA_k and UB_1, \dots, UB_m , respectively.*
2. *Let $D = \emptyset$.*
3. *For every pair (i, j) with $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$ test whether there exists a $C' \in D$ with $UA_i B_j U = UC'U$; if this is not the case, add the element $A_i B_j$ to D and set $c_{A_i B_j} = 1$; otherwise, if additionally $UC' = UA_i B_j$ is fulfilled, increase $c_{C'}$ by 1.*

For the execution of this algorithm, a right coset decomposition of UAU and UBU has to be constructed explicitly in step (1). Using Theorem 17 we can give an algorithm that carries out this task.

Algorithm 25. *input: $A \in I$ and an enumeration $(Q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of U ; output: right transversal R of $U \setminus UAU$*

1. *Calculate $k = \mu(A)$ (using Theorem 17).*
2. *Set $R = \{A\}$ and $n = 1$.*
3. *If there exists no $B \in R$ with $UAQ_n = UB$, add the element AQ_n to R .*
4. *If $|R| < k$, increase n by 1 and go back to (3), otherwise stop.*

Remark 26. *In order to implement Algorithm 25, we have to enumerate all elements of U , which might not be feasible. To avoid this problem, one can use random elements instead of enumerated elements for Q_n . Then Algorithm 25 is turned into a probabilistic algorithm which produces the desired output if it terminates. The remaining problem of the generation of random unimodular matrices will not be discussed here but is delegated to SAGE ([?]).*

Using Algorithm 25 and Remark 26, we can calculate some

Examples 27. Let $\phi = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega$ for $\omega = \sqrt{-5}$. For $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ the probabilistic decomposition algorithm terminates after an average of 14 loop cycles and yields for example

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1+\omega \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1+\omega & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

as a system of representatives of $U \setminus UAU$ (with 6 elements according to Examples 19). With this transversal it is then possible to use Algorithm 24 to calculate $1_{UAU} * 1_{UAU}$; one obtains

$$1_{UAU} * 1_{UAU} = 1_{UA_1U} + 6 \cdot 1_{UA_2U} + 1_{UA_3U}$$

with $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ as well as $A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1+\omega \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$.

In order to obtain a feeling for the complexity of the decomposition algorithm (a detailed analysis has to take into account the strategy for choosing the elements of U and will not be carried out in this paper), we execute this algorithm for some more A and obtain the following table:

A	$\mathfrak{d}_1(A)$	$\mathfrak{d}_2(A)$	$\mu(A)$	avg. loop cycles
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	\emptyset	$2\emptyset$	6	14
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+\omega \end{pmatrix}$	\emptyset	$(\omega+1)\emptyset$	12	39
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	\emptyset	$3\emptyset$	16	53
$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	\emptyset	$4\emptyset$	24	110
$\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 1 \\ 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix}$	\emptyset	$5\emptyset$	30	130
$\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	\emptyset	$(1+2\omega)\emptyset$	32	124
$\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	\emptyset	$2\omega\emptyset$	36	171

Theorem 17 can not only be used for algorithmic calculations in Hecke algebras; it has an application in the proof of a theoretical result on abstract Hecke algebras, too. In the “classic” Hecke algebra H_n related to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, certain products in H_n can be reduced to products in H_{n-1} (see e.g. [?] Lemma V (8.3)). In the case $n=2$, the presented result yields a lemma which then leads to a generalisation of this reduction theorem to Hecke algebras related to arbitrary norm-finite Dedekind domains.

Lemma 28. For all $f \in H$ define $\mu_\phi(f) = \sum_{A \in R} f(A) \cdot \mu_\phi(A)$ where R is a system of representatives of $U \setminus I/U$. Then $\mu_\phi(f * g) = \mu_\phi(f) \mu_\phi(g)$ for all $f, g \in H$.

Proof 29. By the definition of μ_ϕ and $*$ it suffices to prove the assertion for $f = 1_{UAU}$ and $g = 1_{UBU}$ where $A, B \in I$. For $C \in I$ with $(1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(C) \neq 0$ we have $C \in UAUBU$ by the definition of $*$, and [?] Theorem 3.1 yields $\mathfrak{d}_1(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(B) \mid \mathfrak{d}_1(C)$ and thus $\mathfrak{d}_1(A)\mathfrak{d}_1(B) \mid \mathfrak{g}(C)$. This implies that in the case $\mathfrak{d}_1(A) \neq \emptyset$ or $\mathfrak{d}_1(B) \neq \emptyset$ both sides of the equation $\mu_\phi(1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU}) = \mu_\phi(1_{UAU}) \mu_\phi(1_{UBU})$ evaluate to zero, so it remains to analyse the case $\mathfrak{d}_1(A) = \emptyset = \mathfrak{d}_1(B)$. In this case let A_1, \dots, A_k and B_1, \dots, B_m be systems of representatives of $U \setminus UAU$ and $U \setminus UBU$, respectively, where the B_j with $\mathfrak{g}(B_j) = \emptyset$ have the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ (without loss of generality due to Corollary 2). Let $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. If $\mathfrak{g}(B_j) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathfrak{g}(A_i B_j) \neq \emptyset$ since the first column of $A_i B_j$ consists of linear combinations of entries of the first column of B_j . If $\mathfrak{g}(B_j) = \emptyset$, then the special structure of B_j yields that the first column of $A_i B_j$ equals the first column of A_i . So we have $\mathfrak{g}(A_i B_j) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}(A_i) = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{g}(B_j) = \emptyset$. Since according to the definition of μ_ϕ and $*$ we have

$$\mu_\phi(1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU}) = |\{(i, j) \mid \mathfrak{g}(A_i B_j) = \emptyset, 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m\}|,$$

the just proved characterisation of $\mathfrak{g}(A_i B_j) = \emptyset$ used to split up the right hand side as product of two cardinalities yields the assertion.

Now the desired reduction theorem can be stated and proved.

Theorem 30. Let $a, b, c \in \phi$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ as well as $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$. Then $(1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(C) = 1$, if $c \in a b \phi^*$, and $(1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(C) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof 31. With R as in Lemma 28 write

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\phi(1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU}) &= \sum_{D \in R} (1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(D) \cdot \mu_\phi(D) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{D \in R \\ D \notin UAUBU}} (1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(D) \cdot \mu_\phi(D) + (1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(AB) \cdot \mu_\phi(AB). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 28, Theorem 14 and the multiplicity of the norm, we have

$$\mu_\phi(1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU}) = \mu_\phi(1_{UAU}) \mu_\phi(1_{UBU}) = N(\mathfrak{d}_2(A))N(\mathfrak{d}_2(B)) = N(\mathfrak{d}_2(AB)) = \mu_\phi(AB),$$

so

$$\sum_{\substack{D \in R \\ D \notin UABU}} (1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(D) \cdot \mu_{\phi}(D) + (1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(AB) \cdot \mu_{\phi}(AB) = \mu_{\phi}(AB).$$

Since all numbers in this equation are non-negative integers and $(1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(AB) \geq 1$ by the definition of $*$, we have $(1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(AB) = 1$ and $(1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(D) \cdot \mu_{\phi}(D) = 0$ for all $D \in R$ with $D \notin UABU$. Since $\mu_{\phi}(C) \geq 1$ as $\mathfrak{g}(C) = \emptyset$, these equations imply $(1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(C) = 0$ if $C \notin UABU$ and $(1_{UAU} * 1_{UBU})(C) = 1$ if $C \in UABU$, where the latter condition is equivalent to $c \in ab\phi^*$, which proves the assertion.

Bibliography