

Universal form for the minimal Fisher-measure

BY S. P. FLEGO², A. PLASTINO^{1,3,5}, AND A. R. PLASTINO^{3,4}

Abstract

(nd) The information-optimizing principle based on Fisher's information measure (FIM) requires, in order to extremize the measure, to tackle a Schrödinger's equation (SE). We present here a novel FIM-treatment that is able to bypass this SE. It is based on the virial theorem and it yields a general, analytic solution for the physically relevant FIM, that which is minimal subject to the constraints posed by the prior information. Simple illustrations are provided.

KEYWORDS: Information Theory, Fisher's Information measure, Legendre transform, Virial theorem.

(pacs) 02.50.Tt, 02.50.-r, 02.70.Rr, 05.70.-a

(ead) plastino@fisica.unlp.edu.ar

1 Introduction

Interest in the physical applications of Fisher's information measure (FIM) I [[frieden](#), [frieden2](#), [frieden3](#), [pla7](#), [flego](#), [reginatto](#)] has been growing exponentially since the appearance of Frieden and Soffer's seminal paper [[frieden3](#)]. A small, and certainly not exhaustive sample is that of Refs. [[KS09](#), [CPF07](#), [L02](#), [DGS07](#), [KSC10](#), [FS09](#), [U09](#), [LADY08](#), [2011](#), [SAA07](#), [N07](#), [N06](#), [rigid](#), [alp2](#), [alp3](#), [alp4](#), [olivares](#), [pla5](#), [flavia](#)].

In this work we exhibit novel links between I and the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation that, via the virial theorem, lead in natural fashion to a differential equation for I . Such equation, that has an analytical solution, encodes the available prior knowledge concerning the system at hand in terms of adequately selected expectation values. Such solution adds to the rather large Fisher literature a general, explicit expression for that particular FIM I_{Min} that arises out of any constrained I – extremization problem. It is important to note that, once in possession of this I_{Min} , one does not need to ever address any explicit minimization task nor to solve the FIM-associated Schrödinger equation. To better understand *why* this is of importance one should recall that Fisher's information and Shannon's entropy play com-

plementary roles: the later is a local information measure while the former is a global one, FIM is convex, Shannon's is concave. When one grows, the other diminishes, etc [frieden2, ppglobal]. The associated Shannon's MaxEnt problem has as its solution, always, an exponential form that contains those physical quantities whose mean values are a priori known. The solution of the FIM minimization problem is instead a Schrödinger like differential equation[pla7, reginatto], whose solutions exhibit a panoply of mathematical forms. We provide here a universal form for I_{Min} , expressed in terms of those mean values that are a priori known, filling thus a gap in the literature of the physics of information. We review basic materials below and start with our presentation in Sections III-V. We give illustrative examples in Section VI and present conclusions in Section VII.

2 Review of basic ideas

We briefly review here the formalism developed in Ref.[pla7]. Consider a system that is specified by a physical parameter θ and let $f(x, \theta)$ describe the normalized probability distribution function (PDF) for this parameter. If an observer were to make a measurement of x and had to best infer θ from such measurement, calling the resulting estimate $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}(x)$, one might well wonder how well θ could be determined. Estimation theory [frieden2] asserts that the *best possible estimator* $\tilde{\theta}(x)$, after a very large number of x -samples is examined, suffers a mean-square error e^2 from θ obeying the rule $I e^2 = 1$, where the Fisher information measure (FIM) I , a functional of the PDF, reads

$$I = \int dx f(x, \theta) \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln [f(x, \theta)] \right\}^2. \quad (1)$$

Any other estimator must have a larger mean-square error (all estimators must be unbiased, i.e., satisfy $\langle \tilde{\theta}(x) \rangle = \theta$). Thus, FIM has a lower bound. No matter what the parameter θ of the system might be, I has to obey

$$I e_{\tilde{\theta}}^2 \geq 1, \quad (2)$$

the celebrated Cramer–Rao bound [frieden2]. The particular instance of translational families merits a word. They are mono-parametric distribution families of the form $f(x, \theta) = f(x - \theta)$, known up to the shift parameter θ . All family members exhibit identical shape. After introducing the amplitudes ψ such that $f(x) = \psi(x)^2$, FIM adopts the simpler aspect [frieden3]

$$I = \int dx f(x) \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln [f(x)] \right\}^2 = 4 \int dx [\psi'(x)]^2; \quad (d\psi/dx = \psi'). \quad (3)$$

Note that for the uniform distribution $f(x) = \text{constant}$ one has $I = 0$. Focus attention now a system that is specified by a set of M physical parameters μ_k . We can write $\mu_k = \langle A_k \rangle$ with $A_k = A_k(x)$. The set of μ_k -values constitutes the prior knowledge. It represents available empirical information. Let the pertinent probability distribution function (PDF) be $f(x)$. Then,

$$\langle A_k \rangle = \int dx A_k(x) f(x), \quad k = 1, \dots, M. \quad (4)$$

In this context it can be shown (see for example [pla7, reginatto]) that the *physically relevant* PDF $f(x)$ minimizes the FIM (3) subject to the prior conditions and the normalization condition. In the celebrated MaxEnt approach of Jaynes' [katz] one *maximizes* the entropy, that behaves information-wise in opposite fashion to that of Fisher's measure [frieden3]. Normalization entails $\int dx f(x) = 1$, and, consequently, our Fisher-based extremization problem adopts the appearance

$$\delta \left(I - \alpha \int dx f(x) - \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k \int dx A_k(x) f(x) \right) = 0 \quad (5)$$

where we have introduced the $(M + 1)$ Lagrange multipliers λ_k ($\lambda_0 = \alpha$). In Ref. [pla7] one can find the details that lead from (5) to a Schrödinger's equation (SE) that yields the desired PDF in terms of an amplitude $\psi(x)$ referred to above before Eq. (3). This SE is of the form

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \psi - \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{\lambda_k}{8} A_k \psi = \frac{\alpha}{8} \psi, \quad (6)$$

which can be formally interpreted as the (real) Schrödinger equation for a particle of unit mass ($\hbar = 1$) moving in the effective, "information-related pseudo-potential" [pla7]

$$U = U(x) = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k A_k(x), \quad (7)$$

in which the normalization-Lagrange multiplier ($\alpha/8$) plays the role of an energy eigenvalue. The λ_k are fixed, of course, by recourse to the available prior information. Note that $\psi(x)$ is always real in the case of one-dimensional scenarios, or for the ground state of a real potential in N dimensions [richard]. In terms of the amplitudes $\psi(x)$ we have

$$I = \int dx f \left(\frac{\partial \ln f}{\partial x} \right)^2 = \int dx \psi_n^2 \left(\frac{\partial \ln \psi_n^2}{\partial x} \right)^2 = 4 \int dx \left(\frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial x} \right)^2 = \quad (8)$$

$$= -4 \int \psi_n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \psi_n dx = -4 \left\langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right\rangle = \int \psi_n \left(\alpha + \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k A_k \right) \psi_n dx, \quad (9)$$

i.e.,

$$I = \alpha + \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k \langle A_k \rangle. \quad (10)$$

a form that we will employ in our developments below. The connection between our variational solutions f and thermodynamics was established in Refs. [pla7] and [flego] in the guise of reciprocity relations that express the Legendre-transform structure of thermodynamics. They constitute its essential formal ingredient [deslog] and were re-derived à la Fisher in [pla7] by recasting (10) in a fashion that emphasizes the relevant independent variables

$$I(\langle A_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle A_M \rangle) = \alpha + \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k \langle A_k \rangle. \quad (11)$$

The Legendre transform changes the relevant variables. In the case of I this is

$$\alpha = I(\langle A_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle A_M \rangle) - \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k \langle A_k \rangle = \alpha(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M), \quad (12)$$

so that we encounter the three reciprocity relations proved in [pla7]

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \lambda_i} = -\langle A_i \rangle; \lambda_k = \frac{\partial I}{\partial \langle A_k \rangle}; \frac{\partial I}{\partial \lambda_i} = \sum_k^M \lambda_k \frac{\partial \langle A_k \rangle}{\partial \lambda_i}, \quad (13)$$

the last one being a generalized Fisher-Euler theorem.

3 Present results

Our previous Fisher considerations lead to a scenario for which

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + U(x), \quad U(x) = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_k \lambda_k A_k, \quad E_n = \frac{\alpha}{8}. \quad (14)$$

Enters here, as essential new ingredient in the present considerations, the celebrated virial theorem [virial] that of course applies in this Schrödinger-scenario [greiner]. This theorem is intimately related to the reciprocity relations of the preceding Section, as discussed in [nuestro2] and states that

$$\left\langle -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right\rangle = \left\langle x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} U(x) \right\rangle. \quad (15)$$

The potential function $U(x)$ belongs to \mathcal{L}_2 and thus admit of a series expansion in x, x^2, x^3 , etc. [greiner]. The $A_k(x)$ themselves belong to \mathcal{L}_2 as well and can be series-expanded in similar fashion. This enables us to base our future considerations on the assumption that the a priori knowledge refers to moments x^k of the independent variable, i.e.,

$$\langle A_k \rangle = \langle x^k \rangle, \quad (16)$$

and that one possesses information on M moment-mean values $\langle x^k \rangle$. Our "information" potential U then reads

$$U(x) = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_k \lambda_k x^k. \quad (17)$$

and Eq. (15) allows one to immediately obtain

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=1}^M k \lambda_k \langle A_k \rangle; \quad (A_k = x^k), \quad (18)$$

and thus, via (18) and the above mentioned relation $I = -4 \left\langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right\rangle$, a useful, virial-related expression for Fisher's information measure can be arrived at.

$$I = - \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{k}{2} \lambda_k \langle x^k \rangle, \quad (19)$$

which is an explicit function of the M physical parameters $\langle x^k \rangle$ and their respective Lagrange multipliers λ_k . Eq. (19) encodes the information provided by the virial theorem. Thus, we have two different ways of expressing I , namely, (10) and (19). Interesting things happen if we put them together. Since λ_k is given by (13) as $[\partial I / \partial \langle x^k \rangle]$, inserting the reciprocity relations (13) into (19) we are led to

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial \langle x^k \rangle} = \lambda_k \quad \longrightarrow \quad I = - \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{k}{2} \langle x^k \rangle \frac{\partial I}{\partial \langle x^k \rangle}. \quad (20)$$

Eq. (20) constitutes an important result, since we have now at our disposal a *differential FIM-equation*. Dealing with it should allow us to find I in terms of the $\langle x^k \rangle$ *without passing first through a Schrödinger equation first*, a commendable achievement. For convenience we now recast our key relations using dimensionless magnitudes

$$\forall \langle A_k \rangle \equiv \langle x^k \rangle \neq 0 \quad \mathcal{I} = \frac{I}{[I]} = \frac{I}{[x]^{-2}}; \quad \langle \mathcal{X}_k \rangle = \frac{\langle x^k \rangle}{[\langle x^k \rangle]} = \frac{\langle x^k \rangle}{[x]^k}, \quad (21)$$

where $[I]$ and $[\langle x^k \rangle]$ denote the dimension of I and $\langle x^k \rangle$, respectively. Thus, the differential equation that governs the FIM-behavior, i.e., (20), can be translated into

$$\mathcal{I} = - \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{k}{2} \langle \mathcal{X}_k \rangle \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}}{\partial \langle \mathcal{X}_k \rangle}, \quad (22)$$

and is easily solved. We first set

$$\mathcal{I} = \sum_{k=1}^M \mathcal{I}_k = \sum_{k=1}^M \exp[g(\langle \mathcal{X}_k \rangle)], \quad (23)$$

and substituting (23) into (22) leads to

$$\mathcal{I} = - \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{k}{2} \langle \mathcal{X}_k \rangle g'(\langle \mathcal{X}_k \rangle) \mathcal{I}_k. \quad (24)$$

The above relation entails

$$g'(\langle \mathcal{X}_k \rangle) = - \frac{2}{k \langle \mathcal{X}_k \rangle} \longrightarrow g(\langle \mathcal{X}_k \rangle) = - \frac{2}{k} \ln |\langle \mathcal{X}_k \rangle| + c_k, \quad (25)$$

where c_k is an integration constant. Finally, substituting (25) into (23) we arrive at

$$\mathcal{I} = \sum_{k=1}^M C_k \exp\left(-\frac{2}{k} \ln |\langle \mathcal{X}_k \rangle|\right), \quad C_k = e^{c_k} > 0, \quad (26)$$

which can be recast as

$$\mathcal{I}(\langle \mathcal{X}_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathcal{X}_M \rangle) = \sum_{k=1}^M C_k |\langle \mathcal{X}_k \rangle|^{-2/k}, \quad (27)$$

or, in function of the original input-quantities (21)

$$I(\langle x^1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x^M \rangle) = \sum_{k=1}^M C_k |\langle x^k \rangle|^{-2/k}, \quad (28)$$

our main present result. We enumerate below the main properties of our minimal I .

- **FIM-domain**

Obviously, it is

$$Dom[I] = \{(\langle x^1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x^M \rangle) / \langle x^k \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}_o\}$$

- **FIM-monotonicity**

From the reciprocity relations we know that

$$\lambda_k = \frac{\partial I}{\partial \langle x^k \rangle} = - \frac{2}{k \langle x^k \rangle} I_k. \quad (29)$$

Therefore, if $\langle x^k \rangle > 0$ ($\lambda_k < 0$) then I is a monotonically decreasing function in the $\langle x^k \rangle$ -direction.

- **FIM-convexity**

This is a necessary property, since the entropy is concave. By differentiation of the expression (29) one obtains

$$\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial \langle x^n \rangle \partial \langle x^k \rangle} = \left(1 + \frac{k}{2}\right) \frac{4}{k^2} \frac{1}{\langle x^k \rangle^2} I_k \delta_{kn}, \quad (30)$$

from which we can assert that the Fisher measure is a convex function. It is then guaranteed that the inverse of $\partial_k \partial_j \bar{\alpha}$ exists.

- **Scaling-property**

Under the scaling transform $x = a \bar{x}$ we have

$$I(\langle x^1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x^M \rangle) = \sum_{k=1}^M C_k |\langle x^k \rangle|^{-2/k} = \quad (31)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^M C_k |\langle (a \bar{x})^k \rangle|^{-2/k} = \quad (32)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^M C_k |a^k|^{-2/k} |\langle \bar{x}^k \rangle|^{-2/k} = \quad (33)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^M C_k a^{-2} |\langle \bar{x}^k \rangle|^{-2/k} = \quad (34)$$

$$= a^{-2} \bar{I}(\langle \bar{x}^1 \rangle, \dots, \langle \bar{x}^M \rangle), \quad (35)$$

as one should expect. Why? Because that is the well-known behavior of the eigenvalues of Schrödinger's equation.

- **Appropriate referential system**

The reference quantities C_k should contain important information concerning the referential system with respect to which prior conditions are experimentally determined. Note that when FIM is constrained to obey the bound (2) [frieden2], since the best estimator exhibits a CR relation as close to unity as possible, it is convenient that all integration constants c_k should vanish and $C_k = e^{c_k} = 1$ for all k . Of course, such a statement is merely conjectural at this point.

4 MINIMUM OF THE INFORMATION POTENTIAL

It is convenient to incorporate at the outset, within the I – form, information concerning the minimum of the information potential. Assume that this information-potential

$$U(x) = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k x^k, \quad (36)$$

achieves its absolute minimum at the “critical point” $x = \xi$,

$$U'(\xi) = 0, U_{min} = U(\xi), \quad (37)$$

then effecting the FIM-translational transform $u = x - \xi$ leads us to

$$I = -\sum_{k=1}^M \frac{k}{2} \lambda_k \langle x^k \rangle = -\sum_{k=1}^M \frac{k}{2} \lambda_k \langle u^k \rangle, \quad (38)$$

with (see the Appendix)

$$\lambda_k = -\frac{8}{k!} U^{(k)}(\xi), \quad \langle u^k \rangle' = \langle (x - \xi)^k \rangle \quad (39)$$

where $U^{(k)}(\xi)$ is the k^{th} derivative of $U(x)$ evaluate in $x = \xi$ and $\langle \rangle'$ indicates that the moment is calculated for translation-transform eigenfunctions. The corresponding FIM-explicit functional expression is built up with the N – non-vanishing momenta ($N < M$) ($\langle u^k \rangle' \neq 0$) and is given by

$$I = \sum_{k=2}^N |\langle u^k \rangle'|^{-2/k} = \sum_{k=2}^N |\langle (x - \xi)^k \rangle|^{-2/k}, \quad (40)$$

where we kept in mind that $\lambda_1^* = -8U'(\xi) = 0$. A glance at the above FIM-expression suggests that we re-arrange things in the fashion

$$I = |\langle (x - \xi)^2 \rangle|^{-1} + \sum_{k=3}^N |\langle (x - \xi)^k \rangle|^{-2/k}. \quad (41)$$

Taking now into account that

$$\begin{cases} \langle x - \xi \rangle = 0 \\ \langle (x - \xi)^2 \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle - 2\xi \langle x \rangle + \xi^2 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \langle x \rangle = \xi \\ \langle (x - \xi)^2 \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2 = \sigma^2 \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

we get

$$I = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \sum_{k=3}^N |\langle (x - \xi)^k \rangle|^{-2/k}, \quad (43)$$

from which we obtain

$$I\sigma^2 = 1 + \sigma^2 \sum_{k=3}^N |\langle (x - \xi)^k \rangle|^{-2/k} \geq 1. \quad (44)$$

Therefore, I preserves the well-known Cramer-Rao I - bound [frieden3] $I\sigma^2 \geq 1$. The above seems to indicate that the lower bound can be reached only if no moment $k \geq 3$ is a priori known.

5 Fisher-practitioner's manual

Specific instructions for encoding the prior information into FIM are given below.

- First of all look for the ξ -point at which the potential V achieves its minimum value

$$V_{min} = V(\xi).$$

- Define $u = x - \xi$ and, by recourse to the Newton-binomial, calculate

$$\langle u^n \rangle' = \langle (x - \xi)^n \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} \xi^j \langle x^{n-j} \rangle. \quad (45)$$

- Construct FIM explicitly as

$$I = \sum_{k=2}^N |\langle u^k \rangle'|^{-2/k}. \quad (46)$$

- Obtain the Lagrange multipliers by recourse to the reciprocity relations by following one of these alternative procedures.

a. Evaluate

$$\lambda_k = \frac{\partial I}{\partial \langle u^k \rangle'} = -\frac{2}{k} |\langle u^k \rangle'|^{-(2/k)-1}. \quad (47)$$

and obtain the λ_k -values by solving the system of equations (39).

b. Evaluate

$$\lambda_k = \frac{\partial I}{\partial \langle x^k \rangle} = \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{\partial I}{\partial \langle u^k \rangle'} \frac{\partial \langle u^k \rangle'}{\partial \langle x^k \rangle} = \quad (48)$$

$$= -\sum_{k=1}^M \frac{2}{k} |\langle u^k \rangle'|^{-(2/k)-1} \frac{\partial \langle u^k \rangle'}{\partial \langle x^k \rangle}, \quad (49)$$

using (45) for calculating $(\partial \langle u^k \rangle') / (\partial \langle x^k \rangle)$.

- Encode into the λ_k the prior information using the expression (45).
- Express I as a function of the prior knowledge by recourse to (19)

$$I = -\sum_{k=1}^M \frac{k}{2} \lambda_k \langle x^k \rangle. \quad (50)$$

or using (46),

$$I = \sum_{k=2}^N |\langle u^k \rangle'|^{-2/k}. \quad (51)$$

- Compute the α -value by means of (10)

$$\alpha = I - \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k \langle x^k \rangle. \quad (52)$$

6 Illustrative examples

So as to illustrate the above considerations we are going to consider two simple and instructive examples. We take the mass $m = 1$ and $\hbar = 1$.

6.1 Harmonic oscillator (HO)

The prior information is given by

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\omega}, \quad M = 1, \quad k = 2.$$

- The minimum of the potential function obtains at the origin $\xi = 0$,

$$V(x) = \lambda_2 x^2 \longrightarrow V'(\xi) = 2\lambda_2 \xi = 0 \longrightarrow \xi = 0.$$

- The pertinent FIM can be obtained using (46) with $u = x - \xi = x$,

$$I = I(\langle x^2 \rangle) = |\langle x^2 \rangle|^{-1}. \quad (53)$$

- The corresponding Lagrange multiplier can be obtained from (48) and (53),

$$\lambda_2 = -|\langle x^2 \rangle|^{-2} = -4\omega^2. \quad (54)$$

- The prior-knowledge is encoded into the FIM from (50), and the α -value can be obtained from (52), with λ_2 given by (54)

$$I = -\lambda_2 \langle x^2 \rangle = 2\omega; \quad I \langle x^2 \rangle = 1 \quad (CR \text{ bound is saturated}). \quad (55)$$

$$\alpha = I - \lambda_2 \langle x^2 \rangle = 4\omega. \quad (56)$$

6.2 Harmonic oscillator in a uniform field

We consider a charged unit-mass particle moving in the HO potential. The electrical charge is q and there is a uniform electric field ϵ , in the x -direction. Our prior knowledge is given by [greiner]

$$\langle x \rangle = \frac{q\epsilon}{\omega^2}, \quad \langle x^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\omega} + \left(\frac{q\epsilon}{\omega^2} \right)^2. \quad (57)$$

We look first for the ξ -point at which $V(x)$ is minimal.

$$V'(\xi) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \xi = -\frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_2}. \quad (58)$$

The translational transform $u = x - \xi$ implies that

$$\langle u \rangle' = \langle x - \xi \rangle = \langle x \rangle - \xi, \quad (59)$$

$$\langle u^2 \rangle' = \langle (x - \xi)^2 \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle - 2\xi \langle x \rangle + \xi^2. \quad (60)$$

The translation transformed FIM is now given by

$$I = |\langle u^2 \rangle'|^{-1}. \quad (61)$$

The reciprocity relations lead us to

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\partial I}{\partial \langle x \rangle} = \frac{\partial I}{\partial \langle u^2 \rangle'} \frac{\partial \langle u^2 \rangle'}{\partial \langle x \rangle} = -|\langle u^2 \rangle'|^{-2} (-2\xi) \quad (62)$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{\partial I}{\partial \langle x^2 \rangle} = \frac{\partial I}{\partial \langle u^2 \rangle'} \frac{\partial \langle u^2 \rangle'}{\partial \langle x^2 \rangle} = -|\langle u^2 \rangle'|^{-2}. \quad (63)$$

From the prior knowledge (57) and (59) we have

$$\langle x \rangle = \xi = \frac{q\epsilon}{\omega^2}, \quad (64)$$

$$\langle u^2 \rangle' = \langle x^2 \rangle - \xi^2 = \frac{1}{2\omega} + \left(\frac{q\epsilon}{\omega^2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{q\epsilon}{\omega^2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2\omega}, \quad (65)$$

and inserting (64) - (65) into (61), (62), and (63) we get

$$I = |\langle u^2 \rangle'|^{-1} = \left| \frac{1}{2\omega} \right|^{-1} = 2\omega, \quad (66)$$

so that, again, the CR bound is saturated. As for the Lagrange multipliers,

$$\lambda_1 = 2\xi |\langle u^2 \rangle'|^{-2} = 2\frac{q\epsilon}{\omega^2} (2\omega)^2 = 8q\epsilon \quad (67)$$

$$\lambda_2 = -|\langle u^2 \rangle'|^{-2} = -(2\omega)^2 = -4\omega^2 \quad (68)$$

The corresponding α - value can be obtained from (12) and (66-68)

$$\alpha = I - \lambda_1 \langle x \rangle - \lambda_2 \langle x^2 \rangle = \quad (69)$$

$$= 2\omega - 8q\epsilon \frac{q\epsilon}{\omega^2} + 4\omega^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\omega} + \left(\frac{q\epsilon}{\omega^2}\right)^2 \right) = 4\omega. \quad (70)$$

7 Conclusions

It was known for some time [pla7] that, for one-dimensional scenarios, a minimal Fisher's information measure I is associated to a Schrödinger's equation in which the role of the potential is played by a weighted sum of the a priori known expectation values of M quantities $\langle x^k \rangle$. The weights are the corresponding Lagrange multipliers λ_k . Legendre reciprocity relations linking I , the λ_k and the $\langle x^k \rangle$ apply. We have here demonstrated that insertion of virial theorem-tenets into this Legendre structure leads to a differential equation for I . The equation is analytically solvable and its solution provides us with a general, explicit new expression for I in terms of the input-information contained in the M expectation values $\langle x^k \rangle$. An application to simple examples harmonic has illustrated these considerations. Of course, as is the case in the MaxEnt environment, the usefulness of (28) depends on how adequate is our input information for describing the situation at hand. Maximal entropy or minimum FIM are just the best ways to exploit that knowledge. It is important to note that, once in possession of this minimal I , one does not need to ever address any explicit I – minimization task nor solve the FIM-associated Schrödinger equation, a fact that should be of interest to the large number of Fisher practitioners. Additionally, some new light on the Legendre transform substructure that underlies Schrödinger's equation has been here shed.

8 Appendix

The potential function

$$U(x) = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k x^k. \quad (71)$$

can be Taylor-expanded about $x = \xi$

$$U(x) = \sum_{k=0}^M \frac{U^{(k)}(\xi)}{k!} (x - \xi)^k \quad (72)$$

The translational transform $u = x - \xi$ leads to

$$\bar{U}(u) = U(u + \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^M \frac{U^{(k)}(\xi)}{k!} u^k, \quad (73)$$

which can be recast as

$$\bar{U}(u) = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=0}^M \lambda_k u^k, \quad (74)$$

with

$$\lambda_k \equiv -8 \frac{U^{(k)}(\xi)}{k!} = -8 \sum_{j=1}^M j(j-1)(j-2)\cdots(j-k+1) \lambda_j \xi^{j-k}. \quad (75)$$

The FIM-translational transform $u = x - \xi$ is obtained from (10) in the fashion ($\langle \rangle'$ indicates that the moment is calculated for translation-transform eigenfunctions)

$$I = -4 \int \psi \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \psi dx = -4 \int \bar{\psi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \bar{\psi} du = -4 \left\langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \right\rangle, \quad (76)$$

where $\bar{\psi} = \bar{\psi}(u)$ is the translation transform of $\psi(x)$. Now, using the translation transform of (6) one easily finds

$$I = \int \bar{\psi}_n \left(\alpha + \sum_{k=0}^M \lambda_k u^k \right) \bar{\psi}_n du, \quad (77)$$

and one realizes that

$$I = \alpha + \sum_{k=0}^M \lambda_k \langle u^k \rangle' = \bar{\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^M \lambda_k \langle u^k \rangle', \quad (78)$$

where

$$\bar{\alpha} = \alpha + \lambda_0 = \alpha - 8U(\xi). \quad (79)$$

Also, the virial theorem (15) leads to

$$I = 4 \left\langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \right\rangle' = -4 \left\langle u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \bar{U}(u) \right\rangle' = - \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{k}{2} \lambda_k \langle u^k \rangle', \quad (80)$$

The translation-transformed moments $\langle u^k \rangle'$ are related to the original moments as

$$\langle u^k \rangle' = \int u^k \bar{\psi}^2(u) du = \int u^k \psi^2(u + \xi) du = \int (x - \xi)^k \psi^2(x) dx = \langle (x - \xi)^k \rangle \quad (81)$$

By recourse to the Newton-binomial we write

$$\int (x - \xi)^k \psi^2(x) dx = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \xi^j \int x^{k-j} \psi^2(x) dx, \quad (82)$$

and then we finally have

$$\langle u^k \rangle' = \langle (x - \xi)^k \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \xi^j \langle x^{k-j} \rangle. \quad (83)$$

References

Bibliography

- [frieden] B R Frieden 1990 *Phys. Rev. A* **41** 4265.
- [frieden2] B R Frieden 1998 *Physics from Fisher information* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press); 2004 *Science from Fisher information* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- [frieden3] B R Frieden and B H Soffer 1995 *Phys. Rev. E* **5** 2274.
- [pla7] B R Frieden, A Plastino, A R Plastino, B H Soffer 1999 *Phys. Rev. E* **60** 48.
- [flego] S P Flego, B R Frieden, A Plastino, A R Plastino, B H Soffer 2003 *Phys. Rev. E* **68** 016105.
- [reginatto] M. Reginatto 1998 *Phys. Rev. E* **58** 1775.
- [KS09] Kapsa V and Skála L 2009 *J. Phys. A* **42** 315202
- [CPF07] S. Curilef, F. Pennini, A. Plastino, G.L. Ferri, J. Phys. A **40** (2007) 5127
- [L02] Luo S 2002 *J. Phys. A* **35** 5181
- [DGS07] Dehesa J S, González-Férez R, Sánchez-Moreno P 2007 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** 1845
- [KSC10] V Kapsa, L Skala and J. Chen 2010 *Physica E* **42** 293.
- [FS09] B R Frieden and B H Soffer 2009 *Physica A* **388** 1315.
- [U09] M R Ubriaco 2009 *Phys. Lett. A* **373** 4017.
- [LADY08] S Lopez-Rosa, J C Angulo, J S Dehesa, R J Yanez 2998 *Physica A* **387** 2243.
- [2011] S P Flego, F Olivares, A Plastino, M Casas 2011 *Entropy* **13** 184.
- [SAA07] K D Sen, J. Antolin, J C Angulo 2007 *Phys. Rev. A* **76** 032502.
- [N07] A Nagy 2007 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **449** 212.
- [N06] A Nagy 2006 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **425** 154.
- [rigid] S Curilef, F Pennini, A Plastino, G L Ferri 2008 *J. Phys.: Conference Series* **134** 012029.
- [alp2] A Hernando, C Vesperinas, A Plastino 2010 *Physica A* **389** 490.
- [alp3] A Hernando, C Vesperinas, A Plastino 2009 *Phys. Lett. A* **374** 18.
- [alp4] F Pennini, G L Ferri, A Plastino 2009 *Entropy* **11** 972. (1998) 498.
- [olivares] F Olivares, F Pennini, A Plastino 2010 *Physica A* **389** 2218.
- [pla5] F Pennini, A Plastino, B H Soffer, C Vignat 2009 *Phys. Lett. A* **373** 817.
- [flavia] F Pennini and A Plastino 2005 *Phys. Rev. E* **71** 047102.
- [ppglobal] F. Pennini and A. Plastino 2007 *Phys. Lett. A* **365** 263.
- [katz] A Katz 1967 *Principles of Statistical Mechanics, The Information Theory Approach* (San Francisco: Freeman).
- [richard] R P Feynman 1939 *Phys. Rev.* **56** 340.
- [deslog] Desloge E. A. 1968 *Thermal Physics* (New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York).
- [virial] For any quantum system in stationary state, with a Hamiltonian does not involve time explicitly, $H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \vec{\nabla}^2 + U(\vec{x})$, the virial theorem states that [greiner] $\left\langle -\frac{\hbar^2}{m} \vec{\nabla} \right\rangle = \langle \vec{x} \cdot \vec{\nabla} U(\vec{x}) \rangle$, where the expectation value is taken for stationary states of the Hamiltonian.

- [greiner] W. Geiner and B. Müller, *Quantum mechanics. An Introduction*(Springer, Berlin, 1988).
- [nuestro2] S. P. Flego, A. Plastino, A. R. Plastino, Cond-mat-stat mech, ArXiv/0183006 (2011).
- [cassels] J. M. Cassesl, *Basic quantum mechanics* (McGraw Hill, New York, 1970).